Final Draft Ipswich Local Plan Review

Ipswich Borough Council Statement to Accompany the Ipswich Borough Council and Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils -Draft Statement of Common Ground

June 2020



Planning and Development Ipswich Borough Council Grafton House, Russell Road Ipswich IP1 2DE (01473) 432019

Email: planningpolicy@ipswich.gov.uk

website: www.ipswich.gov.uk

Explanatory Note

- 1.1 As recognised stakeholders, Babergh and Mid Suffolk Councils have been formally consulted at every stage of consultation on the emerging Ipswich Local Plan Review. In addition, all three Councils subject to this draft Statement of Common Ground are Ipswich Strategic Planning Authorities and work together on cross-boundary issues supporting the ISPA Board.
- 1.2 The first draft Statement of Common Ground between Babergh and Mid Suffolk and Ipswich Borough Council was prepared only very recently and has only been subject to one informal contact discussion between officers. It is therefore very early days in the process.
- 1.3 The objective of this the Statement of Common Ground is to seek to find common ground on matters raised through representations to the Regulation 19 Ipswich Final Draft Local Plan with Babergh and Mid Suffolk Councils.
- 1.4 Ipswich Borough Council has put forward their suggested position at this time and both parties have agreed to work together to progress the draft Statement of Common Ground. This is likely to be amended in due course as the Councils work together to resolve issues.
- 1.5 The signed version will be submitted as soon as it becomes available. In the interim, Ipswich Borough Council is submitting an early draft version of the Statement of Common Ground which represents the Council's understanding of the issues raised by Babergh and Mid Suffolk Councils.

Statement of Common Ground between

Ipswich Borough Council as Local Planning Authority

and

Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils

Xx June 2020

<u>Scope</u>

- The scope of this Statement of Common Ground is to ensure full alignment of the Final Draft Ipswich Local Plan policy CS11 Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation with the ISPA Statement of Common Ground Version 6 (June 2020). This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) is designed to suggest changes to policy to ensure compliance but also provides explanation of how the Council is seeking to fulfil its 2011 'public duty' in terms of equality and diversity regarding meeting the accommodation needs of travellers and gypsies within Ipswich.
- 2. In addition, other issues are addressed regarding:
 - Policy CS2 The Location and Nature of Development;
 - Policy CS7 and accompanying text; and
 - Relevant lower-case supporting text of relevance to the main issues raised as per the Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Council representation.

Objectives

- 3. The objective of this Statement of Common Ground is to secure agreement between the parties that the approach being taken by Ipswich Borough Council is indeed 'sound' and that the changes suggested to policy are indeed compliant with the approach outlined in the ISPA SoCG.
- 4. This SoCG seeks to find common ground on matters raised through representations to the regulation 19 Ipswich Final Draft Local Plan from Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils.

Background to addressing the Needs of Gypsies and Travellers

- In the context of current plan-making, the ISPA authorities commissioned a joint study to identify the Gypsy, Traveller, Travelling Showpeople and Boat Dwellers Accommodation Needs Assessment (ANA) for Babergh, Ipswich, Mid Suffolk, Suffolk Coastal and Waveney which was published in 2017.
- 6. The needs identified for Ipswich are identified overleaf as an extract from the study. As can be seen from the Table overleaf, the evidence shows that 27 pitches will be required in Ipswich by 2036. Currently Ipswich Borough have pitch provision of 39 permanent pitches with 5 vacant pitches which means there are 44 permanent

pitches within the Borough. The five-year supply required is 13 pitches which is an annualised basis of 3 pitches.

- 7. It is clear, that whilst the small family pitch site at Henniker Road is well integrated with the settled community, the majority of the pitch provision in the Borough has been at West Meadow. This is a large site and over the years has been subject to police intervention. The anecdotal picture in the Assessment indicates that for families, this large site may be unsuitable and that provision of smaller sites catering for 3 or 4 families is more likely to lead to more successful provision and also given the example of Henniker Road, are more likely to successfully assimilate with the settled community.
- The 2017 Assessment involved extensive face to face surveys with Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople covering a range of issues related to accommodation and service needs¹Involving over 100 surveys.

ANA 2017

Ipswich (Gypsy and Traveller Pitches)

Total Additional Pitch Requirement Annualised Additional Pitch Requirement	13
Total Need Less total supply	21
Balance of Need and Supply	21
Total Need	21
14) Family units in housing but with a psychological aversion to housed accommodation	4
Current residential need: Housing	
Total Need	17
13) New family formations expected to arise from within existing family units on sites	9
12) New family units expected to arrive from elsewhere	0
 Family units currently overcrowded on pitches seeking residential pitches in the area, excluding those containing an emerging family unit 	1
10) Family units on unauthorised developments requiring residential pitches in the area	0
9) Family units on unauthorised encampments requiring residential pitches in the area	0
8) Family units (on pitches) seeking residential pitches in the area, 2016-2021, excluding those already counted as moving due to overcrowding in step 12	7
Current residential need: Pitches	
Total Supply	8
7) Less pitches with temporary planning permission	0
6) Residential pitches planned to be built or to be brought back into use 2016-2021	0
Number of family units on sites expected to move into housing in the next 5 years	2
4) Number of family units on sites expected to leave the area in the next 5 years	0
3) Number of existing pitches expected to become vacant through mortality 2016-2021	1
2) Number of unused residential pitches available	5
Current residential supply	
1) Current occupied permanent / residential site pitches	39

¹ANA 2017 para.S5 and S14 – CONCLUSION IN THE Executive Summary and in the main report. S17

	Table A4	: Twenty yea	ar summary (`	6)		
	Base	Additional	Additional	Additional	Additional	Additional	Numbers
	Numbers	need 2016-	need 2021-	need 2026-	need 2031-	need	as at
	2016	2021	2026	2031	2036	2016-2036	2036
Residential pitches	44	13	4	5	5	27	71
There are 39 occupi	ied pitches ar	nd 5 vacant, v	vhich equals p	olanning perm	ission for 44.		

• The ANA states:

'.....it was generally acknowledged that there is a lack of permanent accommodation provision throughout the study area and surrounding areas. Much of the accommodation need is due to growing families on existing pitches leading to overcrowding. It was considered that there is a need to ensure that accommodation provision is situated close to services and facilities. Stakeholders recognised that cultural identity and lifestyles of different groups may impact on the type of accommodation required.' And

9. In terms of the large Ipswich site at West Meadow consultation revealed in the ANA that:

'The large local authority adjoining sites in Ipswich which contain 42 pitches was cited as one where there have been management issues due to its size. Stakeholders suggested that the site is at least twice the size of a manageable site. It is occupied by families who do not always get on but remain due to a lack of authorised accommodation elsewhere. Since the consultations, there have been incidents on both sites. It was suggested that ideally, local authorities should assist in the provision of both privately owned and publicly owned sites, and not build sites that are too big to manage or maintain.'³

10. The Council therefore has a dual approach to meeting need. On one hand, the Council's approach is led through the development management process for small

² ANA 2017 S20

³ ANA 2017 para 4.9

sites catering for around 3-4 families. This is to better reflect the anecdotal evidence received by the consultants who prepared the ANA. There is a precedent for such an approach which reflects the Waveney Local Plan (2019) approach. This is being supported through a bespoke piece of work which looks at developing these needs further which is in the process of being commissioned through the same consultants who provided the 2017 ANA.

- 11. In terms of planned provision, this is being co-ordinated through the county-wide Higher-Level Steering Group. In 2019 the group split into two, with the Norfolk and Suffolk Gyspy, Roma and Traveller Forum providing an operational focus and a Steering Group meeting every six months to provide a strategic direction. The focus of this group is the provision of transit pitches. IBC has agreed rewording of the transit references to comply with the higher-level approach that all Suffolk authorities have signed up to, in reference to the Regulation 19 Ipswich Local Plan.
- 12. In addition, there is a member of staff who sits two days a week in East Suffolk Council offices who is employed by the ISPA authorities jointly to progress this (from 2019). This is through individual Councils' Housing functions.
- 13. The Policy CS11 is located in the Core Strategy and Policies Development Plan Document as a strategic policy, in recognition that like housing for settled people, this is an important objective. However, the criteria are more related to development management. In addition, the reference to working with other authorities is something of a misnomer from the previous Plans. The ISPA considers and outlines a procedure for where any form of housing cannot be met within the boundary of a given authority and therefore reference to this in the policy CS11 is inappropriate.
- 14. It is proposed to maintain a strategic focus within it but better clarify the position.
- 15. Should sites not come forward within 5 years of adoption, it is anticipated that the Borough will undertake a focussed review to identify smaller sites which can be incorporated into a new local plan.

Policy CS2 The Location and Nature of Development

16. Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils have submitted a representation in relation to policy CS2 The Location and Nature of Development and paragraph 8.58 of the explanatory text. This has been carried forward in error from the adopted Local Plan. It is agreed that it could be confusing in relation to the ISPA Statement of Common Ground Version 6 June 2020 and, therefore, revised wording is proposed to address it. However, reference to cross boundary working needs to be retained: both in relation to cross-boundary sites such as at Humber Doucy Lane (policy ISPA4) and to recognise the relationship between the ISPA Local Plans; and that allows for the management of risks associated with the possible loss of existing sites through examination which would mean we may have to look again at cross-boundary sites. Ipswich Borough Council's response is to try to resolve objections made by Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils as outlined in the Table overleaf. This also includes

consideration of Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Council's objection to CS11. There is a column in the table overleaf for comments to be made by Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils.

17. Changes are shown in bold, new words are underlined and struck out words are shown as strike throughs.

Rep. ID No.	Policy/Chapter	Representation by Babergh and Mid	Change proposed by Ipswich	Babergh and Mid Suffolk Council
		Suffolk District Councils	Borough Council	Response to Proposed Change
26450	Policy CS2 The	Before an assumption is made that		
	Location and	later in the plan period, housing	The Council has thoroughly	
	Nature of	supply opportunities in Ipswich	reviewed the development potential	
	Development	Borough will be limited, a	within the Borough boundary	
		comprehensive regeneration and	through an updated Strategic	
		asset strategy needs to be	Housing and Employment Land	
		undertaken to exhaust all other	Availability Assessment (SHELAA)	
		options. Through measures	January 2020. Policy CS7 sets out the	
		contained in the Local Transport	Borough's housing requirement. The	
		Plan and the SCC Transport	Council has set out a strategy to	
		Mitigation Strategy, it should be	meet the requirement through a	
		possible to rationalise /make better	combination of strategic and more	
		use of the amount of car parking	local allocations. Part of the	
		required in Ipswich. We would have	Council's ability to meet this	
		expected the parking strategy to	requirement depends on	
		have been produced before the	development coming forward on	
		assertion was made in paragraph	land at the northern end of Humber	
		8.58 of the limited housing supply	Doucy Lane which straddles the	
		opportunities.	boundary with East Suffolk and is a	
			addressed through policy ISPA4.	
			Babergh and Mid Suffolk	
			District Councils have submitted a	
			representation in relation to policy	
			CS2 The Location and Nature of	
			Development and paragraph 8.58 of	
			the explanatory text. This has been	
			carried forward in error from the	
			adopted Local Plan. It is agreed that	
			it could be confusing in relation to	

Table 1 : Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Council Objections, Regulation 19

	the ISPA Statement of Common
	Ground Version 6 June 2020 and,
	therefore, revised wording is
	proposed to address it. However,
	reference to cross boundary working
	needs to be retained, both in
	relation to cross-boundary sites such
	as at Humber Doucy Lane (policy
	ISPA4) and to recognise the
	relationship between the ISPA Local
	Plans and that allows for the
	management of risks associated
	with the possible loss of existing
	sites through examination which
	would mean we may have to look
	again at cross-boundary sites.
	We propose that Paragraph 8.58 is
	revised as follows:
	<u> Later in the plan period after 2031, </u>
	the Council's housing land supply
	opportunities within the Borough
	boundary become more limited and
	, therefore, there will be a need to
	consider future development
	opportunities beyond the
	boundaries with the neighbouring
	local authorities, in association with
	the provision of significant
	infrastructure. Policy CS7 sets out
	the Borough's housing requirement
	as identified through objectively

			accord housing pood. The Course!	
			assessed housing need. The Council	
			has set out a strategy to meet the	
			requirement through a combination	
			of strategic and more local	
			allocations. In addition, it has	
			thoroughly reviewed the	
			development potential within the	
			Borough boundary through an	
			updated Strategic Housing and	
			Employment Land Availability	
			Assessment (SHELAA) published in	
			January 2020. Part of the Council's	
			ability to meet this requirement	
			depends on development coming	
			forward at the boundary with	
			neighbouring districts, as addressed	
			through policy ISPA4. '	
26454	Policy CS11	Object to the wording in Paragraph	As referred to earlier in this	
	and Paragraph	8.41 and Policy CS11. Whilst work is	statement it is accepted that the	
	8.141	being undertaken with neighbouring	wording of CS11 is not fully in	
		authorities on short-stay provision	compliance with the ISPA Statement	
		with the Ipswich Strategic Planning	of Common Ground as drafted	
		Area as part of wider work in	which in part is due to	
		Suffolk, no contact has been made	administrative error but requires	
		with Babergh and Mid Suffolk	amendment.	
		District Councils regarding		
		permanent provision. It is identified	The Council's approach therefore is	
		that IBC need to find 27 permanent	an approach that is led through the	
		pitches to 2036.	development management process	
			for small sites catering for a small	
			number of families. This is to better	
		-	reflect the anecdotal evidence	

received by the consultants who prepared the ANA. As drafted, it is accepted that CS11 is confusing. It is proposed to amend it as follows to ensure it has a better strategic focus: POLICY CS1: GYSY AND TRAVELLER ACCOMMODATION Provision will be found within the Ipswich Borough where possible for additional permanent pitches to meet the need for 27 permanent pitches to 2036, as identified through the Gypsy, Traveller, Travelling Showpeople and Boat Dwellers Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017. Where sites cannot be found within the Borough, the Council will work with neighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified			
As drafted, it is accepted that CS11 is confusing. It is proposed to amend it as follows to ensure it has a better strategic focus: POLICY CS11: GYPSY AND TRAVELLER ACCOMMODATION Provision will be found within the Ipswich Borough where possible for additional permanent pitches to meet the need for 27 permanent pitches to 2036, as identified through the Gypsy, Traveller, Travelling Showpeople and Boat Dwellers Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017. Where sites cannot be found within the Borough the Council will work with neighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified		-	
is confusing. It is proposed to amend it as follows to ensure it has a better strategic focus: POLICY CS11: GYPSY AND TRAVELLER ACCOMMODATION Provision will be found within the Ipswich Borough where possible for additional permanent pitches to meet the need for 27 permanent pitches to 2036, as identified through the Gypsy, Traveller, Travelling Showpeople and Boat Dweilers Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017. Where sites cannot be found within the Borough the Council will work with meighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified	pr	repared the ANA.	
is confusing. It is proposed to amend it as follows to ensure it has a better strategic focus: POLICY CS11: GYPSY AND TRAVELLER ACCOMMODATION Provision will be found within the Ipswich Borough where possible for additional permanent pitches to meet the need for 27 permanent pitches to 2036, as identified through the Gypsy, Traveller, Travelling Showpeople and Boat Dweilers Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017. Where sites cannot be found within the Borough the Council will work with meighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified			
it as follows to ensure it has a better strategic focus: POLICY CS11: GYPSY AND TRAVELLER ACCOMMODATION Provision will be found within the Ipswich Borough where possible for additional permanent pitches to meet the need for 27 permanent pitches to 2036, as identified through the Gypsy, Traveller, Travelling Showpeople and Boat Dwellers Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017. Where sites cannot be found within the Borough, the Council will work with neighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified			
strategic focus: POLICY CS11: GYPSY AND TRAVELLER ACCOMMODATION Provision will be found within the Ipswich Borough where possible for additional permanent pitches to meet the need for 27 permanent pitches to 2036, as identified through the Gypsy, Traveller, Travelling Showpeople and Boat Dwellers Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017. Where sites cannot be found within the Borough, the Council will work with neighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified			
POLICY CS11: GYPSY AND TRAVELLER ACCOMMODATION Provision will be found within the Ipswich Borough where possible for additional permanent pitches to meet the need for 27 permanent pitches to 2036, as identified through the Gypsy, Traveller, Travelling Showpeople and Boat Dwellers Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017. Where sites cannot be found within the Borough, the Council will work with neighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified	it	as follows to ensure it has a better	
ACCOMMODATION Provision will be found within the Ipswich Borough where possible for additional permanent pitches to meet the need for 27 permanent pitches to 2036, as identified through the Gypsy, Traveller, Travelling Showpeople and Boat Dwellers Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017. Where sites cannot be found within the Borough, the Council will work with neighbouring authorities to secure provision, It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified		•	
Provision will be found within the Ipswich Borough where possible for additional permanent pitches to meet the need for 27 permanent pitches to 2036, as identified through the Gypsy, Traveller, Travelling Showpeople and Boat Dwellers Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017. Where sites cannot be found within the Borough, the Council will work with neighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified	PO	OLICY CS11: GYPSY AND TRAVELLER	
Ipswich Borough where possible for additional permanent pitches to meet the need for 27 permanent pitches to 2036, as identified through the Gypsy, Traveller, Travelling Showpeople and Boat Dwellers Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017. Where sites cannot be found within the Borough, the Council will work with neighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified	A	CCOMMODATION	
additional permanent pitches to meet the need for 27 permanent pitches to 2036, as identified through the Gypsy, Traveller, Travelling Showpeople and Boat Dwellers Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017. Where sites cannot be found within the Borough, the Council will work with neighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified	Pr	rovision will be found within the	
permanent pitches to meet the need for 27 permanent pitches to 2036, as identified through the Gypsy, Traveller, Travelling Showpeople and Boat Dwellers Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017. Where sites cannot be found within the Borough, the Council will work with neighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified	Ip	oswich Borough where possible for	
for 27 permanent pitches to 2036, as identified through the Gypsy, Traveller, Travelling Showpeople and Boat Dwellers Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017. Where sites cannot be found within the Borough, the Council will work with neighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified	ac	dditional	
as identified through the Gypsy, Traveller, Travelling Showpeople and Boat Dwellers Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017. Where sites cannot be found within the Borough, the Council will work with neighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified	pe	ermanent pitches to meet the need	
through the Gypsy, Traveller, Travelling Showpeople and Boat Dwellers Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017. Where sites cannot be found within the Borough, the Council will work with neighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified	fo	or 27 permanent pitches to 2036,	
Travelling Showpeople and Boat Dwellers Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017. Where sites cannot be found within the Borough, the Council will work with neighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified	as	s identified	
Dwellers Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017. Where sites cannot be found within the Borough, the Council will work with neighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified	th	nrough the Gypsy, Traveller,	
Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017. Where sites cannot be found within the Borough, the Council will work with neighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified	Tr	ravelling Showpeople and Boat	
2017. Where sites cannot be found within the Borough, the Council will work with neighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified	D	wellers	
within the Borough, the Council will work with neighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified	A	ccommodation Needs Assessment	
Borough, the Council will work with neighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified	20	017. Where sites cannot be found	
neighbouring authorities to secure provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified	**	rithin the	
provision. It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified	B	orough, the Council will work with	
It is anticipated that provision for smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified	THE STATE OF THE S	eighbouring authorities to secure	
smaller sites for family groups (which better meet the identified	нц ри	rovision.	
(which better meet the identified		is anticipated that provision for	
	sr	maller sites for family groups	
	(v	which better meet the identified	
needs of gypsies and the travelling	ne	eeds of gypsies and the travelling	
community). This will ensure			
greater social cohesion with the			
settled community and this is the	-		
preferred option. It is anticipated		-	
		nat this will be delivered through	

normal development management
functions.
The Council's identified need is for
27 permanent pitches between
2016-2036. The 5-year supply is for
13 permanent pitches which
annually requires, 3 pitches a year.
If progress does not move forward,
the Council will conduct a focussed
review within 5 years and the
results of this would feed into the
next local plan as positive
allocations.
Sites currently used by Gypsies and
Travellers are identified on the
policies map and
are protected for that use.
Applications for the provision of
permanent pitches will be
considered against the
following criteria:
a. The existing level of local
provision and need for sites;
b. The availability (or lack) of
alternative accommodation for the
applicants; and
c. Other personal circumstances of
the applicant, including the
proposed
occupants must meet the definition
of Gypsy or Traveller.

Sites for additional Gypsy and	
Traveller pitches will be assessed	
against the following	
criteria.	
d. The site should be located:	
i. where it would be well served b	у
the road network; and	
ii. where it would be well related	to
basic services including the public	
transport	
network.	
e. The site should be:	
i. accessible safely on foot, by cycl	e
and by vehicle;	
ii. free from flood risk and signification	ant
contamination;	
iii. safe and free from pollution;	
81	
iv. capable of being cost effectivel	v
drained and serviced, including wi	-
waste	
disposal and recycling facilities;	
v. proportionate in size to any	
nearby settlements, to support	
community	
cohesion; and	
vi. where possible, located on	
previously developed land.	
f. The site should not have a	
significant adverse impact on:	
i. the residential amenity of	
,	
immediate or close neighbours;	

the op iii. site nature netwo geolog iv. her setting v. the infrast Site id in con Travel settleo design govern guidar The Co Count	gical or landscape qualities; ritage assets including their g; and physical and social tructure of local settlements. lentification will be carried out isultation with the Gypsy and ller and d communities-Site size and n will be in accordance with nment nce. ouncil will work with Suffolk cy Council and neighbouring	
design	n will be in accordance with	
govern	nment	
	local authorities in Suffolk to	
	er identified needs for short	
	opping sites within Suffolk.	
	op a South Suffolk transit : stay) site between Ipswich	
•	elixstowe.	
unurv		
It is pr	roposed to delete paragraph	
8.1.4.2	1 because the contents do not	
compl	ly with the procedure set out	
	ISPA SoCG . This anticipates	
	ion being within IBC Borough	
	dary and if there are difficulties	
in mee	eting needs, the ISPA SoCG	

	anticipates an in-house focussed
	review and the results of this are to
	be reported through the Board. As
	drafted this does not contribute
	positively to the final draft Local
	Plan or comply with ISPA SoCG. This
	states that each local planning
	authority will meet its own need for
	permanent pitches for Gypsies and
	Travellers. The changes suggested
	support this approach and
	acknowledges the Borough Council
	looking to identify sites in the
	Borough to meet the Borough need.
	The ISPA Statement of Common
	Ground states that each local
	planning authority will meet its own
	need for permanent pitches for
	Gypsies and Travellers and should
	have a policy setting out how this
	will be delivered in its own area. The
	SoCG goes on to state that where
	the capacity to accommodate
	pitches cannot be met within the
	local authority's boundary a
	comprehensive re-assessment of
	deliverability will be undertaken and
	the ISPA Board will provide the
	forum to collectively consider how
	the unmet need can be met within
	the ISPA, subsequently to be
	determined through each local
	authority's local plan. The

		amendments proposed to Policy CS11 also incorporate provision of a comprehensive focussed review where the development management approach fails to provide sufficient permanent pitches.	
Core Strategy Policy CS7, The Amount of New Housing Required	Object to soundness of the Local Plan. Policy CS7 states 'In order to boost delivery in Ipswich, the land supply will include a contingency of at least 10% over the housing requirement of 8010 dwellings. This excludes the Opportunity Sites identified through policy SM.' This statement contradicts the text in paragraph 8.58: 'Later in the plan period after 2031, the Council's housing land supply opportunities within the Borough boundary become more limited and, therefore, there will be a need to consider future development opportunities with the neighbouring local authorities, in association with	From our discussion, it is CS7a) which appears to be the main issue because as drafted it does not comply with the ISPA approach outlined in Iteration 6, Statement of Common Ground. It is therefore proposed to amend CS7 a) to read: The Council has a housing requirement of at least 8,010 dwellings for the period 2018 – 2036. This equates to an annual average of at least 445 dwellings. The Council will, with its neighbours, keep this figure under review and consider any implications for meeting Ipswich need within the Ipswich Housing Market Area. See above elsewhere in this	
	the provision of significant infrastructure. Policy CS7 sets out	statement regarding proposed changes to 8.58	

the Borough's objectively assessed housing need.' Policy CS7 also states following identification of the housing requirement that 'The Council will, with Its neighbours, keep this figure	
Policy CS7 also states following identification of the housing requirement that 'The Council will,	
identification of the housing requirement that 'The Council will,	
requirement that 'The Council will,	
with Its neighbours, keep this figure	
	1
under review and consider any	
implications for meeting Ipswich	
need within the Ipswich Housing	
Market Area'. Whilst it is correct the	
Ipswich Housing Market Area	
authorities discuss the housing	
requirement for each local planning	
authority in the IHMA through the	
ISPA Board, before considering any	
implications for not being able to	
meet need, the text in paragraph C3	
of the [SPA Statement of Common	
Ground Version 5 (October 2019 —	
signed January 2020) needs to be	
adhered to. The text is quoted	
below:	
Throughout the Local Plan	
preparation process, each local	
planning authority will undertake	
and maintain a thorough assessment	
of housing supply potential within	
their area. Each local planning	
authority will plan to meet Its own	
housing need and should have a	
policy setting out the specific	
minimum number It Is intending to	
deliver in its own area. Where,	

through the production of a Local	
Plan, it is evident that the need	
cannot be met within the local	
authority's boundary, a	
comprehensive re-assessment of	
land supply and deliverability will be	
undertaken'.	
'Following a comprehensive re-	
assessment of land supply and	
deliverability, and where unmet	
need remains, the ISPA Board will	
provide the forum to collectively	
consider how the unmet need can	
be met within the ISPA,	
subsequently to be determined	
through each local authority's local	
plan. An appropriate approach will	
be dependent upon the scale of	
unmet need and the current status	
of other Local Plans In the ISPA.'	
Again, this is fine for the earlier	
planning stages but not for the final	
draft as I explained earlier in our	
meeting as this is too late in the	
planning process and doesn't	
comply with the agreed procedure,	
in Iteration 6 of the ISPA SoCG	
.everything else is fine, its just this	
reference	
Paragraph	Paragraph 8.109 be amended to
8.109	avoid potential contradiction to
	read: Due to the constrained nature

		of the Borough boundary, the Council has a limited capacity for future development. The Council is actively working to deliver with neighbouring authorities to its own contribution of the identified housing need within the Borough across the Ipswich Housing Market Area through and prepare aligned Local Plans to deliver it. The Council considers that the Ipswich housing need identified above can be met. Housing delivery will be closely monitored across the Ipswich Strategic Planning Area. Ipswich Borough Council has published a Housing Delivery Action Plan to support delivery within the Borough.'	
Core Strategy, paragraph 8.240	Object to soundness of the Local Plan In respect of a 'Transport Mitigation Strategy' for the Ipswich Strategic Planning Area, this paragraph states: 'Detailed measures, costings and a mechanism for collecting the contributions from the planned growth will be determined through the ISPA Board'.	Amend paragraph 8.240 to read as requested: 'Detailed measures, costings and a mechanism for collecting the contributions from the planned growth will be determined through the ISPA Board and be agreed by each respective local planning authority.'	

	Additional text needs to be added to		
	also say, ' and to be agreed by		
	each respective local planning		
 	authority'		
Core Strategy,	Object to soundness of the Local	This alternative capacity requires	
paragraph	Plan	addressing the issue of: the	
8.249	Object to reference to an Ipswich	successful regeneration of the	
	Northern Route as this is no longer	Island Site at Ipswich Waterfront	
	supported by Babergh or Mid Suffolk	which will require an additional	
	District Councils. However,	crossing of the River Orwell. Whilst	
	appreciate that the decision by	the delivery of a single span main	
	Suffolk County Council to not pursue	bridge, which would have provided	
	funding for an Ipswich Northern	additional highway capacity, cannot	
	Route was made after the emerging	now proceed, the County Council	
	Ipswich Local Plan was published for	will contribute a maximum of	
	consultation.	£10.8m to help to deliver crossings	
		to serve the Waterfront and deliver	
		on Ipswich Local Plan regeneration	
		objectives. Could also be provided	
		via a northern bypass or a link road	
		to the	
		north of the town. The Council	
		supports the work of key partners to	
		investigate the	
		possibility of a northern bypass, to	
		address the issue of:	
		i. central east-west movement;	
		ii. movements within and around	
		the north of Ipswich; and	
		iii. the capacity of the A14,	
		particularly around the Orwell	
		Bridge.	

0	Core Strategy,	Ditto – as above	The Council will work with	
p	paragraph		neighbouring authorities and Suffolk	
8	3.250		County Council to ensure	
			that the merits and delivery options	
			for some form of northern bypass	
			are fully	
			investigated. It is recognised that	
			any such route would be within the	
			East Suffolk Council	
			and Mid Suffolk District Council	
			areas (i.e. not between the Ipswich	
			Garden Suburb - policy	
			CS10 - and Westerfield village) and	
			therefore it is not practical to	
			include such a route within	
			this Strategy. However, the Council	
			will encourage those authorities,	
			together with Suffolk	
			106	
			County Council and other interested	
			parties, to actively investigate such a	
			route, and would	
			be prepared to contribute to any	
			such investigation. Public	
			consultation into possible routes	
			for such a road has taken place.	