## Risk Assessment Method for Local Air Pollution Control Score Sheet Revised July 2013

Name of authorised	Tarmac Trading Ltd, Cliff Quay, Ipswich, Suffolk, IP3
process/installation	OBS.
Name of person with	Simon Fowler
whom sheet discussed	
Local Authority Reference	EP45/8/DR
Inspector's Name	Phil Hamblin
Date	13 <sup>th</sup> March 2025

**Environmental Impact Appraisal** 

Component 1 – Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category Possible Score Scores Awarded				
(A) Category 1	10	-		
(B) Category 2	20	20		
(C) Category 3	30	-		

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	0		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	0		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	0		
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	0		

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximi score)	. `				
	Sensitivity of Receptors				
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Med	(z) Low		
(A) < 100m*	20	12	5		
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3		
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1		
(D) >500m*	0	0	0		

<sup>\*</sup> All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 3 – Other Targets		
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	-
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0

Total for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	25
Total for Environmental impact Appraisal	Range U to 70	<b>2</b> 5

## **Operator Performance Appraisal**

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of any specific authorisation condition or of the general/residual BATNEEC condition	0	0		
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	10 per incident	0		
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action	10 per incident	0		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	0		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice or Suspension Notice	20 per incident	0		
Total	(Max 55)	0		

<sup>\*</sup> Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

## Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records

		sible Sc		
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	Score Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the	0	10	0	0
degree required in the authorisation?				
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced	<b>-</b> 5	0	0	0
because results over time show consistent				
compliance?				
(C) Process operation modified where any	0	10	0	0
problems indicated by monitoring?				
(D) Fully documented and adhered to	0	10	0	0

maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?				
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	10	0	0
Total Score		-5 to 45	)	0

Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
	Possible Scores			
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	Score Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5
Total Score		(-5 to 25)	)	-5

Total for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to	-5
	105	

Overall Score for the Process	Range -10 to 195	20
Regulatory Effort Category High =>80, med = 40 - 80, low = <40	Low/Med/High	LOW