Risk Assessment Method for Local Air Pollution Control Score Sheet

Name of authorised	Bradleys (Stowmarket) Ltd, 49 Knightsdale Road.
process/installation	Ipswich
Name of person with	Gary Winmill
whom sheet discussed	
Process Guidance Note	6/35(96), 6/23(04), 6/31(04)
Local Authority Reference	C/VPA/02/11
Inspector's Name	D Rowe
Date	12/9/12

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 – Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category Possible Score Scores Awarded				
(A) Category 1	10			
(B) Category 2	20	20		
(C) Category 3	30			

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximi score)	ty of Receptors (ci	rcle approp	riate		
	Sensitivity of Recepto				
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Med	(z) Low		
(A) < 100m*	20	12	5		
(B) 100 - 250m*	<u>12</u>	10	3		
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1		
(D) >500m*	0	0	0		

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 3 – Other Targets		
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0

-	D 01 T0	
Total for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	
Total for Environmental impact Applaisar	Ivalige o to 10	

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of any specific authorisation condition or of the general/residual BATNEEC condition	0	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	5 per incident	
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action	10 per incident	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice or Suspension Notice	20 per incident	
Total	(Max 50)	0
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those conside	red by the inspecto	r to be

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and

Records				
	Pos	sible Sc	ores	
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	Score Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	0

(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in	0	5	0	0

authorisation available on-site?				
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to	0	5	0	0
the authority by date required?				
Total Score		(-5 to 30))	0

Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
	Possible Scores			
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	Score Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	-5
Total Score		(-5 to 25))	-5

Total for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to	-5
	105	

Range -10 to 175	27
Low/Med/High	low