



IPSWICH BOROUGH COUNCIL

Minutes

Meeting	Ipswich Northern Fringe Transport Working Group
Date	Wednesday 1st August 2012
Time	1pm
Location	Endeavour House
Present	Steve Miller (SM) – IBC (Chair) Fionnuala Lennon (FL) – ATLAS Nicholle Phillips (NP) – Crest Nicholson Steve Haines (SH) -- DLA Ian Dix (ID) -- Vectos Graeme Mateer (GM) - SCC Dave Watson (DW) – SCC Martin Blake (MB) – Mersea Homes Anita Kittle (AK) – IBC (minutes)
Apologies	None
Distribution	Attendees only
Minutes Agreed	27 June 2012

Items:


		Action	Attachments
1.0	Minutes of last meeting Agreed		
2.0	Progress on Action 6 of DSG meeting 3 July (Key Design Principles for Access and Movement Framework) ID advised that calculations and designs created so far were based on assumptions of		

	<p>scale/character of development; 4000 residential units, 3 primary schools, 1 secondary school $\frac{3}{4}$ full and 300 places from outside of site, 2 local centres of 2 or 3 shops and 1 district centre. Locations not determined. Assumed no traffic generated from outside of the site (assumption not accepted as realistic by DW), 20000sqft of retail though not determined if this was net or gross figure. 20mph speed restriction to apply throughout the development.</p> <p>ID needs additional traffic data to bottom out evidence base. Proceeding with caution if using AECOM figures and TEMPRO model. Potential for double counting needs to be borne in mind.ID wants to incorporate time series data from Valley Road.</p> <p>ID highlighted need for clear evidence base.</p> <p>DW had understood rapid turnaround of results was required and expressed concern that additional data analysis would delay proceedings for months. However, agreed to deal with additional data requirements.</p> <p>ID advised model had been designed for traffic signals at Westerfield Road and Tuddenham Road. Design at draft stage, needs bus priorities and pedestrian links. Bus routes plotted on site with suggested loops at north west and south east along AECOM principles. Cannot do pedestrian and cycling demand as need school locations. Pattern of footpaths plotted. Plans are subject to change. Fonnereau way still shown as crossing railway line.</p> <p>ID working on junction delay details and 20mph through scheme.</p> <p>Agreed in general that time to reflect on proposals required.</p>	<p>Floorspace figure to be refined once IBC retail assessment received/agreed</p> <p>DW to provide ID with data</p> <p>ID to send plans to group members for consideration and comment.</p> <p>ID to provide an update note to next Steering Group on 7th August 2012. Junction details to go to</p>	
--	---	---	--

		DW and GM by 17th August 2012 with written report to DSG on 11:09:12	
3.0	<p>Report on Network Rail meeting 18 July 2012</p> <p>SM reported that Network Rail is not happy with Fonnereau Way crossing. Bridge required unless it's diverted. Excessive time delays expected with footpath diversions with unpredictable outcome. NR expressed an initial view that the level crossing would need closing and Westerfield Road traffic diverted through site. However, they will assess further</p> <p>DW commented that it was not feasible to divert Westerfield Road. Westerfield Road level crossing needs upgrading with additional safety measures. Upgrade of crossing is already in S106 for upgrade of Felixstowe line vis a vis Felixstowe Dock extension.</p> <p>Additional work needed to prove level crossing can't be shut. More discussion needed.</p> <p>NP advised rail line goes through Crest/Country park area with 35m buffers either side. No room for footbridge. Cost associated with footbridge (e.g. cycle ramp)</p> <p>Bridge design metal and ramped but not enclosed.</p>	DW to liaise further with NR on level crossing	
4.0	<p>Update on First Group meeting</p> <p>Meeting arranged 30 August 2012 10 o'clock Norwich Office. GM to attend.</p>	GM	
5.0	<p>Update on Red House farm roads under rail line</p> <p>MB has approached landowner who agreed to survey. 'Kent' identified as landowner to north of railway line and access rights under railway line.</p>		
6.0	AOB		

	None		
	Future meeting dates: 21 August , 26 Sept, 25 Oct and 20 Nov		

The full minutes of this meeting are assumed to be accessible to the public and to staff, unless the chair claims an exemption under the **Freedom of Information Act 2000**. For detailed guidance about applying the exemptions visit <http://www.ico.gov.uk/>

 <p>Please indicate opposite any exemptions you are claiming.</p> <p>Remember that some exemptions can be overridden if it is in the public interest to disclose – as decided by the FOI multi-disciplinary team.</p> <p>Exemptions normally apply for a limited time and the information may be released once the exemption lapses.</p>	These minutes contain information;	Please insert an “x” if relevant
	1. That is personal data	
	2. Provided in confidence	
	3. Intended for future publication	
	4. Related to criminal proceedings	
	5. That might prejudice law enforcement	
	6. That might prejudice ongoing external audit investigations	
	7. That could prejudice the conduct of public affairs	
	8. Information that could endanger an individual’s health & safety	
	9. That is subject to legal privilege	
	10. That is prejudicial to commercial interests	
	11. That may not be disclosed by law	
12. Other Please describe		