Risk Assessment Method for Local Air Pollution Control Score Sheet

Name of authorised	Tarmac Roadstone, Ipswich
process/installation	
Name of person with	Sam Hardinge
whom sheet discussed	
Process Guidance Note	PG 3/15A (04)
Local Authority Reference	EP/00000045
Inspector's Name	RAB
Date	23/5/12

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 – Inherent Environmental Impact Potential				
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Category 1	10			
(B) Category 2	20	20		
(C) Category 3	30			

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading					
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded			
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5				
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10				
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	0			
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10				

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)					
Sensitivity of Receptors					
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Med	(z) Low		
(A) < 100m*	20	<u>12</u>	5		
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3		
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1		
(D) >500m*	0	0	0		

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 3 – Other Targets				
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10			
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0		

Total for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	32
Total for Environmental impact Appraisal	ivalige o to ro	JŁ

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment					
Possible Scores	Score Awarded				
0					
5 per incident					
10 per incident	10 (Fuel analysis submissions not submitted)				
15 per incident					
20 per incident					
(Max 50)	10				
	5 per incident 10 per incident 15 per incident 20 per incident				

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

	Possible Scores			
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	Score Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	5	0	0

(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	5	0	0
Total Score		(-5 to 30))	0

Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
	Possible Scores			
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	Score Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	0
Total Score		(-5 to 25)	0

Total for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	10
--	------------------	----

Overall Score for the Process	Range -10 to 175	42
Regulatory Effort Category High =>80, med = 40 - 80, low = <40	Low/Med/High	Med