

## Risk Assessment Method for Local Air Pollution Control Score Sheet Revised July 2013

|  |                                      |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| Name of authorised process/installation  | ABP Timber Treatment, Wierstead Road |
| Name of person with whom sheet discussed | Brian Reed                           |
| SG Note                                  | SG 11                                |
| Local Authority Reference                | EP/000000058                         |
| Inspector's Name                         | Ben Atkinson                         |
| Date                                     | Friday 8th December 2017             |

### Environmental Impact Appraisal

| Component 1 – Inherent Environmental Impact Potential |                 |               |
|---|-----------------|---------------|
| APRR Risk Rating Category                             | Possible Scores | Score Awarded |
| (A) Category 1  | (10)            | 10            |
| (B) Category 2  | 20              |               |
| (C) Category 3  | 30              |               |

| Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading                                      |                 |               |
|--|-----------------|---------------|
| Status of Upgrading  | Possible Scores | Score Awarded |
| (A) Upgrading not complete but SG Note deadline has yet to be reached      | 5               |               |
| (B) Upgrading not yet complete and SG Note deadline has passed             | 10              |               |
| (C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements                          | 0               |               |
| (D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements                             | (-10)           | -10           |
| (E) Improvement programme not submitted within 6 months of issue of permit | 5               |               |

| Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score) |                          |         |         |
|---|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| Proximity to Emission Source  | Sensitivity of Receptors |         |         |
|   | (x) High                 | (y) Med | (z) Low |
| (A) < 100m*   | 20                       | 12      | (5)     |
| (B) 100 - 250m*   | 12                       | 10      | 3       |
| (C) 250 - 500m*   | 5                        | 3       | 1       |
| (D) >500m*  | 0                        | 0       | 0       |

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

### Component 3 – Other Targets

|  | Possible Scores      | Score Awarded |
|--|----------------------|---------------|
| (A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor | 10                   |               |
| (B) No such air pollution problems   | 0                    | 0             |
| <b>Total for Environmental Impact Appraisal</b>  | <b>Range 0 to 70</b> | <b>- 5</b>    |

### Operator Performance Appraisal

#### Component 5 - Compliance Assessment

##### Scale of Non-Compliance

| Scale of Non-Compliance  | Possible Scores | Score Awarded |
|--|-----------------|---------------|
| (A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of any specific authorisation condition or of the general/residual BAT condition | 0               | 0             |
| (B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*   | 10 per incident | 0             |
| (C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action   | 10 per incident | 0             |
| (D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution  | 15 per incident | 0             |
| (E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice or Suspension Notice  | 20 per incident | 0             |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>(Max 55)</b> | <b>0</b>      |

\* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

#### Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records

| Criterion   | Possible Scores |           |            | Score Awarded |
|---|-----------------|-----------|------------|---------------|
|   | (x)<br>Yes      | (y)<br>No | (z)<br>N/A |               |
| (A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?                | 0               | 10        | 0          | 0             |
| (B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance? | -5              | 0         | 0          | 0             |
| (C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?                | 0               | 10        | 0          | 0             |

|  |   |                   |   |   |
|--|---|-------------------|---|---|
| (D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation? | 0 | 10                | 0 | 0 |
| (E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?            | 0 | 5                 | 0 | 0 |
| (F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?                | 0 | 10                | 0 | 0 |
| <b>Total Score</b>   |   | <b>(-5 to 45)</b> |   | 0 |

| <b>Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility</b>                          |                        |                   |                    |                      |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| <b>Criterion</b>  | <b>Possible Scores</b> |                   |                    | <b>Score Awarded</b> |
|   | <b>(x)<br/>Yes</b>     | <b>(y)<br/>No</b> | <b>(z)<br/>N/A</b> |                      |
| (A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?               | 0                      | 5                 | 0                  | 0                    |
| (B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?                    | 0                      | 5                 | 0                  | 0                    |
| (C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?                  | 0                      | 5                 | 0                  | 0                    |
| (D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?          | 0                      | 5                 | 0                  | 0                    |
| (E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place? | 0                      | 5                 | 0                  | 0                    |
| (F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?                                   | -5                     | 0                 | 0                  | -5                   |
| <b>Total Score</b>  |                        | <b>(-5 to 25)</b> |                    | -5                   |

|   |                         |           |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|
| <b>Total for Operator Performance Appraisal</b> | <b>Range -10 to 125</b> | <b>-5</b> |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|

|  |                         |            |
|--|-------------------------|------------|
| <b>Overall Score for the Process</b>                                     | <b>Range -10 to 195</b> | <b>-5</b>  |
| <b>Regulatory Effort Category</b><br>High =>80, med = 40 – 80, low = <40 | <b>Low/Med/High</b>     | <b>Low</b> |

