### Risk Assessment Method for Local Air Pollution Control Score Sheet Revised July 2013

Name of authorised process/installation	Ancient House Press, 8 Whittle Road, Ipswich, Suffolk, IP2 0UH.
Name of person with	Adrian Howard
whom sheet discussed	
Local Authority Reference	WK/202003718
Inspector's Name	Ben Atkinson
Date	24/03/2021

#### **Environmental Impact Appraisal**

Component 1 – Inherent Environmental Impact Potential					
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Score Scores Awarded				
(A) Category 1	10	0			
(B) Category 2	20	20			
(C) Category 3	30	0			

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	0		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	0		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	0		
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	0		

## Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)

	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Med	(z) Low	
(A) < 100m*	20	12	5	
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	<u>10</u>	3	
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1	
(D) >500m*	0	0	0	
* All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime				
processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron &				

steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 3 – Other Targets		
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area	10	0
to which process is a potential contributor		
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	30

### **Operator Performance Appraisal**

Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores	Score Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of any specific authorisation condition or of the general/residual BATNEEC condition	0	0	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	10 per incident	0	
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action	10 per incident	0	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	0	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice or Suspension Notice	20 per incident	0	
Total	(Max 55)	0	

# Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records

		sible Sc		
Criterion	(x)	(y)	(z)	Score
	Yes	No	N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the	0	10	0	10
degree required in the authorisation?				
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced	-5	0	0	0
because results over time show consistent				
compliance?				
(C) Process operation modified where any	0	10	0	0
problems indicated by monitoring?				
(D) Fully documented and adhered to	0	10	0	10
maintenance programme, in line with				
authorisation?				
(E) Full documented records as required in	0	5	0	5
authorisation available on-site?				
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to	0	10	0	10
the authority by date required?				
Total Score		(-5 to 45	)	35

Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
	Possible Scores			
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	Score Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	0
Total Score		(-5 to 25)	)	0

Total for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 105	35
--	---------------------	----

Overall Score for the Process	Range -10 to 195	65
Regulatory Effort Category High =>80, med = 40 – 80, low = <40	Low/Med/High	MED