Risk Assessment Method for Local Air Pollution Control Score Sheet Revised July 2013

Name of authorised process/installation	Anglo worden Forest Products, diff Quay, Ipswid
Name of person with whom sheet discussed	Per Christensen
SG Note	SGII
Local Authority Reference	WT2/UPA/05/15
Inspector's Name	WTZ/UPA/05/15 Ben Atkinson
Date	29th March 2019

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 – Inherent Environmental	Impact Potential	
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Category 1	10	7 111 01 01 01
(B) Category 2	20	20
(C) Category 3	30	

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading		
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but SG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	5
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and SG Note deadline has passed	10	0
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0	0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10	0
(E) Improvement programme not submitted within 6 months of issue of permit	5	0

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)			
	Sensit	ivity of Rec	eptors
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Med	(z) Low
(A) < 100m*	20	12	5
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3
(C) 250 - 500m*	(5)	3	1
(D) >500m*	0	0	0

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 3 – Other Targets		
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	O
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	G

Total for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	30
Total for Environmental impact Appraisar	Italigo o to i o	

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment		
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no breach of any specific authorisation condition or of the general/residual BAT condition	0	0
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	10 per incident	Э
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action	10 per incident	0
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	G
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice or Suspension Notice	20 per incident	O
Total	(Max 55)	9
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those consider		r to be

^{*} Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

	Poss	Possible Scores		
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	Score Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	10	0	0

Total Score	(-5 to 45))	0
the authority by date required?				O
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to	0	10	0	0
authorisation available on-site?	,			V
(E) Full documented records as required in	0	5	0	0
authorisation?				O
maintenance programme, in line with				
(D) Fully documented and adhered to	0	10	0	

Component 7 - Assessment of Managem	ent, Tra	ining an	d Respo	onsibility
		sible So		
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	Score Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	5
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	σ
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	.0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	G
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	0
Total Score	(-5 to 25)		0.

Total for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 125	5	
--	------------------	---	--

Overall Score for the Process	Range -10 to 195	35
Regulatory Effort Category High =>80, med = 40 – 80, low = <40	Low/Med/High	Cow

