

## **Appendix A Water Cycle Study Sites**

Table A.1 Potential and Allocated Developments in SCDC

ID Number	Address	Status	Development Type	Details
SCDC 0	Petrol & Filling Station, land adj, Station Road, Framlingham	Permission	Employment	1079 sqm, Use Class: B1c - 368 sqm B8 - 711 sqm
SCDC 1	Land at Candlet Road, Felixstowe	Permission	Employment	557 sqm, Use Class: B1. Existing building to be demolished - B2 use (7600 sqm)
SCDC 2	Snape Maltings, Snape Bridge, Tunstall	Permission	Employment	935 sqm, Use Class: B1a.
SCDC 3	Land at junction of Station Road & Wilford Bridge Road, Melton	Permission	Employment	10406 sqm, Use Class: B1a. Existing building demolished - B2 use (3400 sqm)
SCDC 4	Deben Mill, High Street, Wickham Market	Permission	Employment	336 sqm, Use Class: B1a - 48 sqm B8 - 233 sqm.
SCDC 5	Indo European Food Ltd, Langer Road, Felixstowe	Permission	Employment	5070 sqm, Use Class: B1c - 3086 sqm B2 - 1984 sqm. Phase 1 completed 8 February 2008
SCDC 6	Site of former Factory Warehouse, Melton Road, Melton	Permission	Employment	540 sqm, Use Class: B1.
SCDC 7	Carlton Park, Main Road, Kelsale cum Carlton	Carried Allocation	Employment	2.46 ha Use Class: B1/B2/B8
SCDC 8	Rendlesham (Bentwaters)	Carried Allocation	Employment	0 ha Use Class: B1/B2/B8, agricultural storage & film recording
SCDC 9	Port of Felixstowe	Carried Allocation	Employment	
SCDC 10	Land at Carr Road/Langer Road, Felixstowe	Carried Allocation	Employment	0.42 ha Use Class: B1/B2
SCDC 11	Woodbridge Road, Framlingham	Neighbourhood Plan Allocation	Employment	1.08 ha Use Class: B1/B2
SCDC 12	Station Road East, Framlingham	Neighbourhood Plan Allocation	Employment	0.4 ha Use Class: B1/B2
SCDC 13	Sandy Lane, Martlesham	Neighbourhood Plan Allocation	Employment	0 ha, Use Class: B1/B2
SCDC 14	Wilford Bridge Road, Melton	Neighbourhood Plan Allocation	Employment	0 ha Use Class: B1/B2/B8
SCDC 15	Melton Road (Deben Mill), Melton	Neighbourhood Plan Allocation	Employment	0 ha Use Class: B1
SCDC 16	Station Road, Melton	Neighbourhood Plan Allocation	Employment	1.86 ha Use Class: B1 (office & light industrial)
SCDC 17	MEL20 Residential Only	Neighbourhood Plan Allocation	Housing	55 Dwellings

ID Number	Address	Status	Development Type	Details
SCDC 18	Land at Street Farm Ipswich Road	Carried Allocation	Housing	20 Dwellings
SCDC 19	Eastward Ho, Grove Road, Felixstowe	Proposed Allocation	Housing	1500 Dwellings
SCDC 21	Land north west of Eastlands Road	Permission	Employment	1044 sqm, Use Class: B1c. Proposal was for six industrial units - 5 have been built, with 1 remaining unbuilt.
SCDC 22	Decoy Farm, Old Church Road, Melton	Permission	Employment	283 sqm, Use Class: B1c - 151 sqm B2 - 16 sqm B1a - 51 sqm B8c - 65 sqm.
SCDC 23	Hillside Farm, Thisleton Hall Road, Burgh	Permission	Employment	300 sqm, Use Class: B1a 150 sqm B1c - 54 sqm B8a - 96 sqm.
SCDC 24	Industrial Unit, Charnwood, Peppers Wash Lane, Framlingham	Permission	Employment	162 sqm, Use Class: B1a.
SCDC 25	J C Harvey Agricultural Engineers, Parham Airfield, Marlesford	Permission	Employment	1190 sqm, Use Class: B2.
SCDC 26	Seven Spar Farm, Sandy Lane, Letheringham	Permission	Employment	284 sqm, Use Class: B8.
SCDC 27	Seven Spar Farm, Sandy Lane, Letheringham	Permission	Employment	284 sqm, Use Class: B1a.
SCDC 28	John Woods Nursery Loudham Hall Road Pettistree Suffolk IP13 0NQ	Permission	Employment	2391 sqm, Use Class: B8.
SCDC 29	Durbans Farm High Road Framlingham Suffolk IP13 9RP	Permission	Employment	1677 sqm, Use Class: B2
SCDC 30	Land at Old Station Works, Main Road, Westerfield	Permission	Employment	1120 sqm, Use Class: B1a. Existing building to be demolished - B8 use (500 sqm)
SCDC 31	6 Levington Lane, Bucklesham	Permission	Employment	900 sqm, Use Class: B1a. Existing buildings to be demolished - B2/B8 uses (505 sqm)
SCDC 32	Orwell Crossing Service Area, A14, Purdis Farm	Permission	Employment	375 sqm, Use Class: B2.
SCDC 33	Land at Abbey Road, Leiston	Permission	Employment	1000 sqm, Use Class: B1. Application site includes 100 residential units, B1 employment units and A3/A4 resturant/pub.
SCDC 34	Bentwaters Business Park, Rendlesham	Permission	Employment	1082 sqm, Use Class: B1. Replacement of existing B use class units - no information of exact breakdown of floorspace figures, only that the previous use was 3118 sq m of B uses. New application for 4200 sq m, so

ID Number	Address	Status	Development Type	Details
				maxium increase of floorspace would be 1
SCDC 35	Suffolk Sportscars Car Workshop, The Street, Pettistree	Permission	Employment	480 sqm, Use Class: B8.
SCDC 36	Sizewell Crossing Industrial Estate, King Georges Avenue, Leiston	Permission	Employment	144 sqm, Use Class: B8.
SCDC 37	Hillview, Church Road, Otley	Permission	Employment	900 sqm, Use Class: B1a. Existing building demolished - B2 use (7600 sqm)
SCDC 38	Three Rivers Business Centre, Felixstowe Road, Foxhall	Permission	Employment	330 sqm, Use Class: B1a.
SCDC 39	Plot 1, Yew Tree Courtyard, Framlingham Road, Earl Soham	Permission	Employment	1350 sqm, Use Class: B1a - 334 sqm B8 - 1016 sqm.
SCDC 40	Anzani House, Anzani Avenue, Felixstowe	Permission	Employment	93 sqm, Use Class: B8. Demoltion of existing office building (B1a) - loss of 19,540 sqm. New development is for a distrubution and storage facility (B8)
SCDC 41	Unit 34-36, Ronald Lane, Carlton Park Industrial Estate, Kelsale cum Carlton	Permission	Employment	2500 sqm, Use Class: B1.
SCDC 42	The Firs, Ferry Road, Sudbourne	Permission	Employment	185 sqm, Use Class: B1c.
SCDC 43	The Knackers Yard, Valley Farm Road, Melton	Permission	Employment	117 sqm, Use Class: B1a.
SCDC 44	Plot 2, Yew Tree Courtyard, Framlingham Road, Earl Soham	Permission	Employment	288 sqm, Use Class: B1a - 72 sqm B8 - 216 sqm.
SCDC 45	Saxtead Business Centre, Marlborough Road, Saxtead	Permission	Employment	292 sqm, Use Class: B1 - 100 sqmB8 - 192 sqm.
SCDC 46	Saxtead Business Centre, Marlborough Road, Saxtead	Permission	Employment	144 sqm, Use Class: B8.
SCDC 47	Newnham Business Park, Saxtead Road, Framlingham	Permission	Employment	5959 sqm, Use Class: B1. Application site area is larger than the allocated site in the Framlingham NP - FRAM20.
SCDC 48	Bealings Station, The Street, Little Bealings	Permission	Employment	300 sqm, Use Class: B1a.
SCDC 49	Carlton Park Industrial Estate, Roland Lane, Kelsale cum Carlton	Permission	Employment	378 sqm, Use Class: B8.
SCDC 50	Plot 4 & 4a, Yew Tree Courtyard, Framlingham Road, Earl Soham	Permission	Employment	373 sqm, Use Class: B1a - 93 sqm B8 - 280 sqm.
SCDC 51	21 Carlton Park Industrial Estate, Main Road, Kelsale-cum-Carlton	Permission	Employment	2497 sqm, Use Class: B2 - 1313 sqm B8 - 1312 sqm.

ID Number	Address	Status	Development Type	Details
SCDC 52	Seven Spar Farm, Sandy Lane, Letheringham	Permission	Employment	668 sqm, Use Class: B8.
SCDC 53	The Firs, Ferry Road, Sudbourne	Permission	Employment	230 sqm, Use Class: B1c.
SCDC 54	Walk Farm, Old Felixstowe Road, Levington	Permission	Employment	475 sqm, Use Class: B1c.
SCDC 55	Three Rivers Business Centre, Felixstowe Road, Foxhall	Permission	Employment	195 sqm, Use Class: B1a. COU from D2 to B1a
SCDC 56	Yew Tree Courtyard, Framlingham Road, Plot 3, 5 & Church and Gooderham, Earl Soham	Permission	Employment	422 sqm, Use Class: B1a - 322 sqmB1c - 100 sqm.
SCDC 57	Os 9854 Peppers Wash Lane Framlingham	Permission	Employment	4000 sqm, Use Class: B1a - 1100 sqm B1c - 1000 sqm B2 - 600 sqm B8 - 1300 sqm
SCDC 58	Moat Farm, Framlingham Road, Earl Soham	Permission	Employment	305 sqm, Use Class: B1a.
SCDC 59	Walton Avenue, Felixstowe	Permission	Employment	Use Class: B1, B2, B8, food retail and fast food outlet. Land to the east of Cory House is vacant and undeveloped land - the area measures 2.55 ha.
SCDC 60	Walton Green North, Felixstowe	Permission	Housing	385 Dwellings
SCDC 61	Land south and east of BT Adastral Park, Martlesham	Permission	Mixed Use	2000 Dwellings
SCDC 62	Land at Haven Exchange, Felixstowe	Carried Allocation	Employment	0 ha Use Class: B1, B2, B8, food retail and fast food outlet
SCDC 63	Ransomes, Nacton Heath	Carried Allocation	Employment	23.45 ha Use Class: B1/B2/B8
SCDC 64	Clopton Commerical Park	Carried Allocation	Employment	0 ha Use Class: B1/B2/B8
SCDC 65	Levington Park, Levington	Carried Allocation	Employment	0 ha Use Class: B1/B8
SCDC 66	Land at Silverlace Green (former airfield) Parham	Carried Allocation	Employment	0.98 ha Use Class: B1/B2
SCDC 67	Former airfield Parham	Carried Allocation	Employment	1.67 ha Use Class: B1/B2
SCDC 68	Riverside Industrial Estate, Border Cot Lane, Wickham	Carried Allocation	Employment	0 ha Use Class: B1/B2
SCDC 69	Land at Bridge Road, Felixstowe	Carried Allocation	Employment	0 ha Use Class: B1/B2

ID Number	Address	Status	Development Type	Details
SCDC 70	Land off Woodbridge Road, Framlingham	Neighbourhood Plan Allocation	Employment	3.7 ha Use Class: B1/B2/B8
SCDC 71	Masterlord Industrial Estate	Neighbourhood Plan Allocation	Employment	0.94 ha Use Class: B1/B2/B8
SCDC 72	Eastlands Industrial Estate	Neighbourhood Plan Allocation	Employment	0.99 ha Use Class: B1/B2/B8
SCDC 73	Martlesham Heath General Employment Area	Neighbourhood Plan Allocation	Employment	1.62 ha, Use Class: B1/ B2 /B8
SCDC 75	Land at Innocence Farm	Proposed Allocation	Employment	
SCDC 76	Land at Felixstowe Road	Proposed Allocation	Employment	
SCDC 77	Land off Victoria Mill Road	Neighbourhood Plan Allocation	Housing	30 Dwellings
SCDC 78	The Old Gas Works site	Neighbourhood Plan Allocation	Housing	7 Dwellings
SCDC 79	IN2 , Leiston	Neighbourhood Plan Allocation	Mixed Use	Policy in the NP states the use of the site could be any of the following - hall and meeting rooms, a cafe and catering facilities, public toilets or suitable parking provision for users
SCDC 80	TC2 Leiston	Neighbourhood Plan Allocation	Mixed Use	Policy in the NP states the use of the site could be any of the following - a mix of uses including retail (A-class) and leisure (Class D1 and D2) are provided; and residential uses; and a market square is created
SCDC 81	Land to the west of Garden Square	Carried Allocation	Housing	50 Dwellings
SCDC 82	Land opposite Townsfield Cottages Laxfield Road	Proposed Allocation - First Draft Local Plan - not taken forward	Housing	0 Dwellings
SCDC 83	Land north east of Street Farm	Carried Allocation	Housing	40 Dwellings
SCDC 84	Land to the rear of Rose Hill, Saxmundham Road	Carried Allocation	Housing	10 Dwellings
SCDC 85	Land off Howlett Way,	Carried Allocation	Housing	360 Dwellings
SCDC 86	Land North of Conway Close,	Carried Allocation	Housing	150 Dwellings

	Address	Status	Davidariii	Dataila
ID Number	Address	Status	Development Type	Details
SCDC 87	Land north of Mill Close,	Carried Allocation	Housing	10 Dwellings
SCDC 88	Land south of Ambleside, Main Road	Carried Allocation	Housing	30 Dwellings
SCDC 89	Land south of Lower Road,	Carried Allocation	Housing	20 Dwellings
SCDC 90	Land to the east of Aldeburgh Road	Carried Allocation	Housing	40 Dwellings
SCDC 91	Land East of Redwald Road,	Carried Allocation	Housing	50 Dwellings
SCDC 92	Land opposite The Sorrel Horse, The Street	Carried Allocation	Housing	30 Dwellings
SCDC 93	Land off Laxfield Road, Dennington	Proposed Allocation	Housing	50 Dwellings
SCDC 94	South Saxmundham Garden Neighbourhood	Proposed Allocation	Housing	800 Dwellings
SCDC 95	Land to the south of Eyke CoE Primary School and East of The Street, Eyke	Proposed Allocation	Housing	45 Dwellings
SCDC 96	Land adjacent to Reeve Lodge, High Road, Trimley St Martin	Proposed Allocation	Housing	150 Dwellings
SCDC 97	Land to the south of Darsham Station	Proposed Allocation	Housing	120 Dwellings
SCDC 98	Land West of B1125, Westleton	Proposed Allocation	Housing	35 Dwellings
SCDC 99	Land South of Forge Close between Main Road and Ayden, Benhall	Proposed Allocation	Housing	50 Dwellings
SCDC 100	Land to the South East of Levington Lane, Bucklesham	Proposed Allocation	Housing	30 Dwellings
SCDC 101	land to the south of Station Road, Campsea Ashe	Proposed Allocation	Housing	12 Dwellings
SCDC 102	Land behind 15 St Peters Close, Charsfield	Proposed Allocation	Housing	20 Dwellings
SCDC 103	Land Bounded by Helmingham Road & Ipswich Road, Otley	Proposed Allocation - First Draft Local Plan - not taken forward	Housing	20 Dwellings
SCDC 104	Land at Chapel Road, Otley	Proposed Allocation - First Draft Local Plan - not taken forward	Housing	35 Dwellings
SCDC 105	Land at The Street & Mill Lane, Brandeston,	Proposed Allocation - First Draft Local	Housing	30 Dwellings

ID Number	Address	Status	Development Type	Details
		Plan - not taken forward		
SCDC 106	Land to the west of Ipswich Road, Grundisburgh	Proposed Allocation - First Draft Local Plan - not taken forward	Housing	56 Dwellings
SCDC 107	Land north of the Street, Kettleburgh	Proposed Allocation	Housing	16 Dwellings
SCDC 108	Land off Keightley Way, Tuddenham	Proposed Allocation	Housing	35 Dwellings
SCDC 109	Land between High Street and Chapel Lane	Proposed Allocation	Housing	120 Dwellings
SCDC 110	Land at Mow Hill, Witnesham	Proposed Allocation	Housing	20 Dwellings
SCDC 111	Land adjacent Levington Park, Bridge Road, Levington	Proposed Allocation	Housing	20 Dwellings
SCDC 112	land south of Sutton Walks, Sutton	Proposed Allocation - First Draft Local Plan - not taken forward	Housing	12 Dwellings
SCDC 113	Land north of The Street, Darsham	Proposed Allocation	Housing	25 Dwellings
SCDC 114	Land to the rear of 31-37 Bucklesham Road, Kirton	Proposed Allocation	Housing	12 Dwellings
SCDC 115	Brackenbury Sports Centre, High Road East, Felixstowe	Proposed Allocation	Housing	80 Dwellings
SCDC 116	85-93 St Andrews Road	Permission	Housing	5 Dwellings
SCDC 119	Land north of Woods Lane	Permission	Housing	73 Dwellings
SCDC 120	15 High Street	Permission	Housing	7 Dwellings
SCDC 121	Land at Old Station Works, Main Road, Westerfield	Permission	Housing	35 Dwellings
SCDC 122	Former County Primary School, Fairfield Road	Permission	Housing	16 Dwellings
SCDC 123	Land at Abbey Road, Leiston	Permission	Housing	100 Dwellings
SCDC 124	The White Horse, 27 Well Close Square	Permission	Housing	4 Dwellings
SCDC 125	28 Old Kirton Road (1-6 Durban Mews)	Permission	Housing	5 Dwellings

ID Number	Address	Status	Development Type	Details
SCDC 126	Land south of Corner Cottages & Forge Close, Main Road	Permission	Housing	9 Dwellings
SCDC 127	Stowe House, 105 Cliff Road	Permission	Housing	9 Dwellings
SCDC 128	210,212,216a & land surrounding, High St	Permission	Housing	7 Dwellings
SCDC 129	Land to the rear of 1 & 2 Chapel Cottages adjoining, The Street	Permission	Housing	20 Dwellings
SCDC 130	Land east of Warren Avenue, Church Hill	Permission	Housing	170 Dwellings
SCDC 131	Easton Primary School and land adjacent The Street	Permission	Housing	14 Dwellings
SCDC 132	Os 9634, Brook Lane	Permission	Housing	14 Dwellings
SCDC 133	Johnsons Farm, Saxmundham Road	Permission	Housing	187 Dwellings
SCDC 134	Land adj. to 45 & 50 Watson Way	Permission	Housing	10 Dwellings
SCDC 135	Land at junction of Garrison Lane & High Road West	Permission	Housing	10 Dwellings
SCDC 136	26 Fore Street	Permission	Housing	8 Dwellings
SCDC 137	Land adj. 11 Penfold Road	Permission	Housing	5 Dwellings
SCDC 138	Land between 1 Potash Cottages & Woodroyd Cottage, Woods Lane	Permission	Housing	11 Dwellings
SCDC 139	Land south east of Rawlings Cottage, Saxtead Road	Permission	Housing	10 Dwellings
SCDC 141	Os 4300 north of Fullers Field	Permission	Housing	11 Dwellings
SCDC 142	Former The Buregate Public House, Sea Road	Permission	Housing	5 Dwellings
SCDC 143	Hillview, Church Road, Otley	Permission	Housing	35 Dwellings
SCDC 144	Land south of Solomans Rest, The Street	Permission	Housing	10 Dwellings
SCDC 145	21-24 Old Post Office Lane	Permission	Housing	5 Dwellings
SCDC 146	Land r/o 82-94 Woodbridge Rd & 14- 18 Playford Rd	Permission	Housing	5 Dwellings



ID Number	Address	Status	Development Type	Details
SCDC 147	Marlborough Hotel, Sea Road	Permission	Housing	24 Dwellings
SCDC 148	Land adjacent 155 The Street	Permission	Housing	14 Dwellings
SCDC 149	38-40 Victoria Street	Permission	Housing	5 Dwellings
SCDC 150	Site of former Factory Warehouse, Melton Road	Permission	Housing	54 Dwellings
SCDC 151	Dorincourt Court House, 41 Undercliff Road West	Permission	Housing	4 Dwellings
SCDC 152	Land off Fairfield Road	Permission	Housing	163 Dwellings
SCDC 153	North Sea Hotel, Sea Road	Permission	Housing	23 Dwellings
SCDC 154	Land/buildings at Chillesford Lodge Estate	Permission	Housing	20 Dwellings
SCDC 155	Land at Candlet Road, Felixstowe	Permission	Housing	560 Dwellings
SCDC 156	Land north of New Quay Court, Old Maltings Approach	Permission	Housing	5 Dwellings
SCDC 157	Snape Maltings, Snape Bridge, Tunstall	Permission	Housing	73 Dwellings
SCDC 158	Land at and adj. to Mushroom Farm, High Road	Permission	Housing	66 Dwellings
SCDC 159	Land off South Entrance	Permission	Housing	5 Dwellings
SCDC 160	Fynn Valley Golf Club, Rose Hill	Permission	Housing	14 Dwellings
SCDC 161	Land at High Road	Permission	Housing	69 Dwellings
SCDC 162	Os 4300 north of Fullers Field	Permission	Housing	12 Dwellings
SCDC 163	Land at Emerald Close	Permission	Housing	9 Dwellings
SCDC 164	The Barn, Mill Road	Permission	Housing	10 Dwellings
SCDC 165	Bakery, back of Market Place (1-6 Bakers Mews)	Permission	Housing	6 Dwellings
SCDC 166	Vacant site, Redwald Road	Permission	Housing	7 Dwellings

ID Number	Address	Status	Development Type	Details
SCDC 167	Former Walled Garden, Sudbourne Park	Permission	Housing	10 Dwellings
SCDC 168	Phase 6,7 & site A, Bixley Farm	Permission	Housing	63 Dwellings
SCDC 169	Glebe House Residential Care Home, Rectory Road	Permission	Housing	10 Dwellings
SCDC 170	Land rear of 23-37 Hall Farm Road	Permission	Housing	8 Dwellings
SCDC 171	Suffolk Private Retirement Home, 9 Sea Road *Former C2 care home - see separate tab*	Permission	Housing	8 Dwellings
SCDC 172	Former Police Station, Grundisburgh Road	Permission	Housing	13 Dwellings
SCDC 173	Land at Colonial House, Station Road	Permission	Housing	6 Dwellings
SCDC 174	Land to the east of Water Tower, Spriteshall Lane	Permission	Housing	6 Dwellings
SCDC 175	Land opposite 57 to 61 Judith Avenue	Permission	Housing	8 Dwellings
SCDC 176	1-6, 9 & 10 Ullswater Road	Permission	Housing	12 Dwellings
SCDC 177	Land at Mallard Way, Off Rectory Road	Permission	Housing	16 Dwellings
SCDC 178	Land east of St Peters Close	Permission	Housing	20 Dwellings
SCDC 179	Pt land at Crown Nurseries, High Street	Permission	Housing	31 Dwellings
SCDC 180	The Woodyard, Vyces Road	Permission	Housing	5 Dwellings
SCDC 181	Land at Mount Pleasant	Permission	Housing	95 Dwellings
SCDC 182	School Lane	Permission	Housing	13 Dwellings
SCDC 183	6 Levington Lane, Bucklesham	Permission	Housing	11 Dwellings
SCDC 184	Land adj. to Mill Farm, Thomas Avenue	Permission	Housing	50 Dwellings
SCDC 185	Land at the rear of St Margarets Crescent	Permission	Housing	77 Dwellings
SCDC 186	Land west of Street Farm, School Road	Permission	Housing	33 Dwellings

ID Number	Address	Status	Development Type	Details
SCDC 187	Os 4700, Saxtead Road	Permission	Housing	24 Dwellings
SCDC 188	Cliff House, Chevalier Rd, Hamilton House & Car Park, Hamilton Rd	Permission	Housing	69 Dwellings
SCDC 189	Land between Treetops and Candlet Road	Permission	Housing	6 Dwellings
SCDC 190	Land at Notcutts Garden Centre, Ipswich Road	Permission	Housing	95 Dwellings
SCDC 191	Land at Warrens Barn, Jacks Field, The Street	Permission	Housing	6 Dwellings
SCDC 192	Heath Dairy Farm, Melton Road	Permission	Housing	9 Dwellings
SCDC 193	Land off Station Road	Permission	Housing	99 Dwellings
SCDC 194	1 Quay Street	Permission	Housing	4 Dwellings
SCDC 195	The Old School Site, The Street	Permission	Housing	7 Dwellings
SCDC 196	Land west of Mill Cottage, Valley Road	Permission	Housing	18 Dwellings
SCDC 197	Land off Blacktiles Lane	Permission	Housing	47 Dwellings
SCDC 198	Police Station, Badingham Road	Permission	Housing	4 Dwellings
SCDC 199	Phase 1 - Land north of Woods Lane	Permission	Housing	107 Dwellings
SCDC 200	Former Gas Works, Carr Avenue	Permission	Housing	20 Dwellings
SCDC 201	Pt land at Crown Nurseries, High Street	Permission	Housing	3 Dwellings
SCDC 202	Atlasfram Group Ltd, New Road	Permission	Housing	16 Dwellings
SCDC 203	23 & 25 Crescent Road	Permission	Housing	18 Dwellings
SCDC 204	Land between 36 & 38 Leiston Road	Permission	Housing	5 Dwellings
SCDC 205	Land on the south side of Thurmans Lane	Permission	Housing	98 Dwellings
SCDC 206	Meri Rauha, 1 High Beach	Permission	Housing	4 Dwellings

ID Number	Address	Status	Development Type	Details
SCDC 207	Land south of High Road	Permission	Housing	70 Dwellings
SCDC 208	Land north of The Mount, Church Lane	Permission	Housing	5 Dwellings
SCDC 209	Land west of Ferry Road Residential Centre, Ferry Road	Permission	Housing	197 Dwellings
SCDC 210	Queens House, Woodbridge School, Burkett Road	Permission	Housing	31 Dwellings
SCDC 212	Land west of Clovelly Close	Permission	Housing	6 Dwellings
SCDC 213	Walton Green South, High Street	Permission	Housing	186 Dwellings
SCDC 214	64-66 New Street	Permission	Housing	5 Dwellings
SCDC 215	Police Station, Leiston Road	Permission	Housing	19 Dwellings
SCDC 216	101 Bath Road	Permission	Housing	4 Dwellings
SCDC 217	Abbey View Lodges, 105 Abbey Road	Permission	Housing	8 Dwellings
SCDC 218	34 Grundisburgh Road	Permission	Housing	5 Dwellings
SCDC 219	Land to the south of Red House Lane	Permission	Housing	65 Dwellings
SCDC 220	Aldeburgh Brickworks, Saxmundham Road	Permission	Housing	15 Dwellings
SCDC 221	Land fronting Old Homes Road	Permission	Housing	10 Dwellings
SCDC 222	Duck Corner / Rectory Road	Permission	Housing	63 Dwellings
SCDC 223	Land at Hill Farm, Yarmouth Road	Permission	Housing	7 Dwellings
SCDC 224	Bixley Farm (b)	Permission	Housing	126 Dwellings
SCDC 225	OS 0960 Mill View Farm, Mill Road	Permission	Housing	5 Dwellings
SCDC 226	land adjacent to Cherry Trees	Permission	Housing	5 Dwellings
SCDC 227	Land at Felixstowe Sunday Market Site, Sea Road, Felixstowe	Proposed Allocation	Mixed Use	40 Dwellings



ID Number	Address	Status	Development Type	Details
SCDC 228	Land at Abbey Road	Neighbourhood Plan Allocation	Mixed Use	See FID33 & 123
SCDC 229	Land off Vyces Road/Brook Lane	Permission	Mixed Use	See FID132
SCDC 230	Martlesham Hi-Tech Cluster	Permission	Employment	



Table A.2 Potential and Allocated Developments in IBC

ID Number	Address	Status	Development Type	Details
IBC 0	30 Lower Brook Street	Commenced Permission	Housing	62 Dwellings
IBC 1	84 Princes Street	Commenced Permission	Housing	25 Dwellings
IBC 2	Depot, Beaconsfield Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	15 Dwellings
IBC 3	Land between railway junction and Hadleigh Road	Potential Allocation	Employment	Land allocated for Employment Use. Suitable for B1, B2 or B8 (excluding B1a office use) and appropriate employment- generating sui generis uses as defined through policy DM25
IBC 4	Bus Depot, Sir Alf Ramsey Way	Potential Allocation	Mixed	48 Dwellings
IBC 5	Smart Street/Foundation Street	Potential Allocation	Mixed	44 Dwellings
IBC 6	West End Road Surface Car Park	Potential Allocation	Housing	43 Dwellings
IBC 7	Burrell Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	28 Dwellings
IBC 9	Island Site	Potential Allocation	Housing	421 Dwellings
IBC 10	Land between Lower Orwell Street and Star Lane	Potential Allocation	Mixed	29 Dwellings
IBC 11	Land between Old Cattle Market and Star Lane	Potential Allocation	Housing	31 Dwellings
IBC 13	Handford Road (east)	Potential Allocation	Housing	20 Dwellings
IBC 14	Transco, south of Patteson Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	51 Dwellings
IBC 15	Silo, College Street	Potential Allocation	Housing	48 Dwellings
IBC 16	Land between Gower Street and Great Whip Street	Potential Allocation	Housing	43 Dwellings
IBC 17	South of Felaw Street	Potential Allocation	Housing	33 Dwellings
IBC 18	Bridge Street, Northern Quays (west)	Potential Allocation	Mixed	73 Dwellings
IBC 19	Burton's College Street	Potential Allocation	Housing	125 Dwellings
IBC 20	Commercial Bldgs & Jewish Burial Ground, Star Ln	Potential Allocation	Mixed	50 Dwellings
IBC 21	Arclion House and Elton Park Industrial Estate, Hadleigh Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	103 Dwellings

ID Number	Address	Status	Development Type	Details
IBC 22	Webster's saleyard site, Dock Street	Potential Allocation	Housing	9 Dwellings
IBC 23	23-25 Burrell Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	14 Dwellings
IBC 24	Cranfields	Potential Allocation	Housing	135 Dwellings
IBC 25	Regatta Quay	Potential Allocation	Housing	157 Dwellings
IBC 28	Old Cattle Market site, Portman Road (South)	Potential Allocation	Employment	Land allocated for Employment Use 80% (1.8ha) B1a and 20% (0.4ha) main town centre uses such as hotel/leisure (excluding retail). Assumed WRC will be Cliff Quay although geographically SPROUGHTON is closest.
IBC 30	Helena Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	337 Dwellings
IBC 32	Land between Cliff Quay and Landseer Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	222 Dwellings
IBC 37	Land at Commercial Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	103 Dwellings
IBC 44	Holywells Road (east)	Potential Allocation	Housing	66 Dwellings
IBC 45	Banks of river, upriver from Princes Street	Potential Allocation	Housing	14 Dwellings
IBC 46	Rear of Grafton House, Russell Road	Potential Allocation	Mixed	0 Dwellings
IBC 47	Bath Street (Griffin Wharf)	Potential Allocation	Housing	113 Dwellings
IBC 48	Thurleston Lane area	Potential Allocation	Housing	268 Dwellings
IBC 50	The Railway PH, Foxhall Road	Commenced Permission	Housing	7 Dwellings
IBC 51	Rear of Maypole PH, Old Norwich Road	Not started Permission	Housing	7 Dwellings
IBC 52	Burlington Road	Commenced Permission	Housing	9 Dwellings
IBC 53	Barrack Corner	Not started Permission	Housing	6 Dwellings
IBC 54	Gibbons Street	Commenced Permission	Housing	6 Dwellings
IBC 55	31 Stoke Street	Not started Permission	Housing	6 Dwellings
IBC 56	19 Elm Street	Not started Permission	Housing	17 Dwellings

ID Number	Address	Status	Development Type	Details
IBC 57	Crown PH, Felixstowe Road	Commenced Permission	Housing	8 Dwellings
IBC 58	Westerfield House, Humber Doucy Lane	Not started Permission	Housing	7 Dwellings
IBC 59	Lower Brook Street	Not started Permission	Housing	8 Dwellings
IBC 60	Arcade Street/Museum Street	Not started Permission	Housing	9 Dwellings
IBC 61	7-15 Queen Street	Commenced Permission	Housing	19 Dwellings
IBC 62	31-37 St Helen's Street	Commenced Permission	Housing	15 Dwellings
IBC 63	County Hall, St Helen's Street	Not started Permission	Housing	16 Dwellings
IBC 64	17-19 St Helen's Street	Not started Permission	Housing	9 Dwellings
IBC 65	Former site of 2 to 6 Central Avenue	Not started Permission	Employment	Land with Planning Permission. Construction of two buildings totalling 12,567sqm of Employment use (B1c, B2 & B8)
IBC 66	Land at Whitton Lane, Fisks Lane, Old Norwich Rd	Not started Permission	Employment	Land with Planning Permission. Construction of regional distribution centre comprising 11,508sqm of warehousing (B8) with 1,850sqm of ancillary offices
IBC 67	Rear of Jupiter Road and Reading Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	13 Dwellings
IBC 68	Former Tooks Bakery, Old Norwich Road	Potential Allocation	Mixed	60 Dwellings
IBC 69	Victoria Nurseries, Westerfield Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	12 Dwellings
IBC 70	Opposite 674-734 Bramford Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	45 Dwellings
IBC 71	Land at Bramford Road (Stock's site)	Potential Allocation	Housing	46 Dwellings
IBC 72	Lavenham Road School site	Potential Allocation	Housing	30 Dwellings
IBC 73	J J Wilson, White Elm Street	Potential Allocation	Housing	47 Dwellings
IBC 74	King George V Field, Old Norwich Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	99 Dwellings
IBC 75	Waterworks Street	Potential Allocation	Housing	23 Dwellings
IBC 76	Peter's Ice Cream etc, Grimwade Street	Potential Allocation	Housing	29 Dwellings

ID Number	Address	Status	Development Type	Details
IBC 77	240 Wherstead Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	27 Dwellings
IBC 78	Co-op Depot, Felixstowe Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	75 Dwellings
IBC 79	Felixstowe Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	62 Dwellings
IBC 80	St Clement's Hospital Grounds	Commenced Allocation	Housing	196 Dwellings
IBC 81	Milton Street	Potential Allocation	Housing	9 Dwellings
IBC 82	Eastway Business Park, Europa Way	Commenced Allocation	Housing	94 Dwellings
IBC 83	Waterford Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	12 Dwellings
IBC 84	Smart Street/Foundation Street (former Gym and Trim)	Potential Allocation	Housing	14 Dwellings
IBC 85	Church and land at Upper Orwell Street	Potential Allocation	Housing	9 Dwellings
IBC 86	79 Cauldwell Hall Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	17 Dwellings
IBC 87	BT Depot, Woodbridge Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	39 Dwellings
IBC 88	Old Foundry Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	12 Dwellings
IBC 89	Arcade Street	Potential Allocation	Housing	7 Dwellings
IBC 90	Former British Energy Site, Cliff Quay (south)	Potential Allocation	Employment	Land allocated for Employment Use. 4.18ha suitable for B1 (excluding office use B1a), B2 or B8 and appropriate employment- generating sui generis uses as defined through policy DM25. Uses should be compatible with residential
IBC 91	Land north of Whitton Lane	Potential Allocation	Employment	Land allocated for Employment Use. 3.8ha suitable for B1, B2 and B8 and appropriate employment-generating sui generis uses as defined through policy DM25. Assumed WRC will be Cliff Quay although geographically SPROUGHTON is closest.
IBC 92	Airport Farm Kennels, north of A14	Potential Allocation	Employment	Land allocated for Employment Use. 7.37ha site for longer term development subject to access improvements. Suitable for B1 (excluding office use B1a), B2 or B8 and appropriate employment- generating sui generis uses as defined through policy DM25

ID Number	Address	Status	Development Type	Details
IBC 93	Land south of Ravenswood fronting Nacton Road	Potential Allocation	Employment	Land allocated for Employment Use.1.2ha suitable for B1 and appropriate employment- generating sui generis uses as defined through policy DM25
IBC 100	Land south of Ravenswood (Sports Park)	Potential Allocation	Employment	Land allocated for Leisure Use. 7.8ha Sports Park.
IBC 101	Duke Street	Potential Allocation	Housing	44 Dwellings
IBC 102	Mint Quarter/Cox Lane East	Potential Allocation	Housing	47 Dwellings
IBC 104	112-116 Bramford Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	14 Dwellings
IBC 105	15-19 St Margaret's Street	Potential Allocation	Housing	9 Dwellings
IBC 106	Sports Club, Henley Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	28 Dwellings
IBC 107	Former Police Station, Civic Drive	Potential Allocation	Housing	46 Dwellings
IBC 109	Areas U, V & W Ravenswood, Nacton Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	94 Dwellings
IBC 110	2 Park Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	13 Dwellings
IBC 111	Land at Futura Park, Nacton Road	Potential Allocation	Employment	Land allocated for Employment Use. 4.82ha suitable for employment uses B1b, B1c, B2, B8 and appropriate sui generis uses as defined through policy DM25. Assumed WRC will be Cliff Quay although geographically SPROUGHTON is closest.
IBC 113	Car Park, Smart Street/Foundation Street	Potential Allocation	Housing	7 Dwellings
IBC 114	Hope Church, Fore Hamlet	Potential Allocation	Housing	23 Dwellings
IBC 116	Mint Quarter/Cox Lane West regeneration area	Potential Allocation	Housing	42 Dwellings
IBC 117	Former British Energy Site, Cliff Quay (north)	Potential Allocation	Housing	17 Dwellings
IBC 118	Former Norsk Hydro, Sandy Hill Lane	Potential Allocation	Housing	85 Dwellings
IBC 119	Ravenswood (south of Alnesbourne Crescent off Edith Cook Way)	Potential Allocation	Housing	126 Dwellings
IBC 120	Ravenswood	Potential Allocation	Housing	34 Dwellings
IBC 121	Civic Centre area, Civic Drive	Potential Allocation	Mixed	59 Dwellings

ID Number	Address	Status	Development Type	Details
IBC 122	Prince of Wales Drive	Potential Allocation	Housing	12 Dwellings
IBC 129	Humber Doucy Lane area	Potential Allocation	Housing	375 Dwellings
IBC 130	Whitton Church Lane area	Potential Allocation	Housing	300 Dwellings
IBC 131	Land west of Greyfriars Road (Jewsons)	Potential Allocation	Housing	34 Dwellings
IBC 132	Waste tip north of Sir Alf Ramsey Way	Potential Allocation	Housing	114 Dwellings
IBC 133	Land bounded by Cliff Road, Toller Road and Holywells Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	148 Dwellings (addition November 2018: + Employment on 20% of site)
IBC 134	Former BT office, Bibb Way	Not started Permission	Housing	104 Dwellings
IBC 135	Land east of West End Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	38 Dwellings
IBC 136	Land west of West End Road	Potential Allocation	Housing	74 Dwellings
IBC 138	Ipswich Garden Suburb Phase N3a	Potential Allocation	Housing	1085 Dwellings
IBC 139	Ipswich Garden Suburb Phase N3b	Potential Allocation	Housing	100 Dwellings
IBC 140	Ipswich Garden Suburb Phase N2b	Potential Allocation	Housing	40 Dwellings
IBC 141	Ipswich Garden Suburb Phase N2a	Potential Allocation	Housing	1100 Dwellings
IBC 142	Ipswich Garden Suburb Phase N1a	Potential Allocation	Housing	815 Dwellings
IBC 143	Ipswich Garden Suburb Phase N1b	Potential Allocation	Housing	360 Dwellings

## **Appendix B Flood Risk Summary Table**

SurfaceWater Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m) % of this site	0.30 0.60 0.90	0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.50 - 0.75	Bedrock geology is Neogram clay, silt and sand deposits.  1.1 0.3 0.1 0.2 > 2.00 No Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is 4.5 0.8 0.2 0.6 > 2.00 No Lambeth Group; Clay. Superficial geology is Alluvium.	Bedrock geology is 0.50 No Lambeth Group Clay. O.75 No Superficial geology is Alluvium.
SurfaceWate Low % of this site	isk 0.00 0.15 - 0.30 0.15	Yes 5.0 2.4	Yes 0.8 2.4	Yes 2.5 8.6	Ves 0.4 16.1
i i	Risk	, Yes , Yes , Y	Yes Yes Y	Yes Yes Y	Yes Y
Flood Zone 3	Area % of (ha) this site	0.10 1%	0.76 0%	35.52 8%	8.67 83%
Flood Zone 2	Area (ha) %e of this site	1.58 20%	0.17 0%	301.32 65%	1.76 17%
Fluvial Flood Zone Flood Zone 1	Area (ha) % of this site	6.14 79%	381.31 100%	129.89 28%	00.00
Flood Zone	'	FZ3	FZ3	FZ3	- FZ3
Total Site Area (ha)		т. 7.82	1P 382.25	IP 466.73	IP 10.44
Site Name	Propose	SCDC Main Road, EMP 7 Kelsale cum Carlton	SCDC Rendlesham EMP 8 (Bentwaters)	SCDC Port of EMP 9 Felixstowe	SCDC Land at Carr Road/Langer EMP 10 Road, Felixstowe

Development Viability			This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluwlal flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is also required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. Surface water flood risk high in parts. In terms of on-site drainage, infiltration SuDS are likely to be vable but will be based on filedy to be vable but will be based on hind use of Employment Site. This should be documented in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water	This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluvial flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is also required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. Surface water flood risk high in parts. In terms of on-site drainage, inflitation SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.	Large proportion of this site in Flood Zone 3. This site is located within an area of very high and significant tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required and early consultation with the LLFA and EA is essential. A large proportion of this site is located within Flood Zone 3 and modelling may be required. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, infurther investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.	This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluwlal flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is also required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. In terms of on-site drainage, infiltration SuDS are likely to be vable but will be based on filely to be vable but will be based on be documented in the drainage stategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.
Infiltration	potential		Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required
Geology			Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Bedrock geology is London Clay. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is London Clay. Superficial geology is Crag Group.
ξZ			. Yes	0 Yes	0 Z	Yes
μ	SzeH	ı	, 200 ,	> 200	× 5.00	0.50 -
(m) (00		1.20 1.20	0 22.5	.1 16.9	0.0	1 0.0
h (1 in 10		0.60 0.90  0.90 1.20	4.4 6.0	18.7 14.1	0.2 0.0	2.9 0.1
SurfaceWater Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)		0.30 0	6. 6.	19.8 1	<u>ဖ</u> ဗ	80 80
eWater Fl	is site	0.15	1.7	2.4	∞ 1.	127
Surfac	% of this site	0.00	0.3	0.5	5.2	5.4
isk isk	l Low Risk		Yes	Yes	× kes	Yes
Surface water risk	Medium Risk		Yes	Yes	× kes	Yes
Surfac	High Risk	ı	, Yes	×es	\$ <del>\$</del>	×es
	one 3	% of this site	1%	34%	<b>%96</b>	%09
	Flood Zone 3	Area (ha)	90:0	1.16	3.97	2.77
	.2	%e of this site	17%	43%	%	17%
	Flood Zone 2	Area (ha)	0.71	1.45	0.15	77.0
Zone		% of / this site	82%	23%	%0	23%
Fluvial Flood Zone	Flood Zone 1	Area (ha)	3.45	0.76	0.02	1.08
Flood	9107		FZ3	FZ3	FZ3	FZ3
Total Site			4.21	3.37	4.13	4.61
	n pəsodo	oıq	EMP	EMP	EMP	EMP
Site Name			Woodbridge Road, Framlingham	Station Road East, Framlingham	Sandy Lane, Martlesham	Wilford Bridge Road, Melton
SITE	<b>≘</b>		scbc 11	SCDC 12	SCDC 13	SCDC 14



	3	Š
	6	9
	_	
ŀ		Į
P	S	Ş
ŀ	-	4

	Development Viability			Large proportion of this site in Flood Zone 3. This site is located within an area of very high and significant tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required and early consultation with the LLFA and EA is essential. A large proportion of this site is located within Flood Zone 3 and modelling may be required. In terms of on-site drainage, inflitration SuDS are likely to be viable but will be based on final use of Employment Site. This should be documented in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water	This site is located within an area of very high and significant tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required and early consultation with the LLFA and EA is essential. A large proportion of this site is located within Flood Zone 3 and modelling may be required. In terms of on-site drainage, infiltration SuDS are likely to be viable but will be based on final use of Employment Site. This should be documented in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water	This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is so required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. It is likely that inflittation SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ	This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluval flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is also required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. Surface water flood risk high in parts. It is likely that inflittation SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which schould be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.
	Infiltration potential			Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Good infiltration portential, infiltration testing required	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required
	Z deology	5		Bedrock geology is London Clay. Yes Superificial geology is Crag Group.	Bedrock geology is London Clay. Superficial geology is Crag Group.	Bedrock geology is London Clay. Superficial geology is Crag Group.	Bedrock geology is London Clay. Yes Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.
	brasid	БН		0.50 -	0.50 -	0.50 -	> 200
	Zone Surface water risk	one 1 Flood Zone 2 Flood Zone 3 High Medium Low % of this site Risk Risk Risk	a) % of Area (ha) %e of Area % of this this (ha) this site site (ha) site (ha) the site (ha) the site (ha) the half of the hal	0% 0.00 0% 1.05 100% Yes Yes Yes 1.0 5.3 3.3 0.2 0.0 0.0	16% 0.32 7% 3.49 77% Yes Yes Yes 8.9 129 14.9 3.3 0.2 0.0	72% 0.14 5% 0.62 23% No Yes Yes 0.4 0.9 0.8 0.0 0.0	78% 0.03 4% 0.13 18% Yes Yes Yes 2.7 6.3 22.1 15.2 5.9 44
000		Flood Zone 1	Area (ha)	000	0.70	1.99	0.54
THOUS ON I	te Flood			FZ3	FZ3	FZ3	
actaic 20k	Total Site Area (ha)			1.05	4.51	2.75	0.70
I CK IIIII day	əsN	pəsodo	Did	EMP	EMP	RESI	RESI
WOOD LINES OF THE STATE OF THE	Site Name			Melton Road (Deben Mill), Melton	C Station Road, Melton	c MEL20 Residential Only	Land at Street Farm Ipswich Road
	STE U			SCDC 15	SCDC 16	SCDC 17	SCDC 18

	1	Site Name		Total Site	Flood	Fluvial Flood Zone	60				Surfa	Surface water risk	risk	Surfa	ceWater	Flood De	SurfaceWater Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)	1000) (m			Geology	ógy	Infiltration	Development Viability
Fig.   1,13				Area (ha)		Flood Zone 1	Flood 2	Zone 2	Floor	1 Zone 3	High Risk				his site								potential	
13   13   13   13   13   13   13   13			oıq											0.00	0.15	0.30			, oz			I		
EMP   5.09   F72   4.53   91%   0.45   95%   No   No   No   No   No   0.01   0.01   0.01   0.05   0.05   No   0.05   0.05   No   0.00   0.05   0.05   No   0.00   0.05	SCDC 19	Eastward Ho, Grove Road, Felixstowe	RESI	143.53	FZ3					•	-				1.0	6.0						ock geology is gene clay, silt and deposits: beded clays, sands silts. Group.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluwal flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is also required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.
EMP 2395 FZ1 2395 100%	SCDC 62	Land at Haven Exchange, Felixstowe	EMP	5.09	FZ2				9		<u>0</u> 2	o Z			0.1	0.0						ock geology is on Clay. rficial geology is Group.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	This site is located within an area with moderate tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is also required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. It is lakely that infiltration SuDS are wiable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.
EMP   10.96   FZ1   10.96   100%   Ves   Ves		Ransomes, Nacton Heath	EMP	29.95	FZ1		νο.				≺es				 	1.2						ock geology is gene clay, silt and deposits: beded clays, sands fricial geology is al sand and gravel sits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that timitharion SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.
EMP 4.23 FZ1 4.23 100% No Yes Yes 0.1 0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		Clopton Commerical Park		10.96	FZ1		vo.				× × ×				2.3	8.						ock geology is gene clay, silt and deposits: beded clays, sands silts. fricial geology is	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of on-site drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
EMP 2.26 FZ1 2.26 100% No Yes 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.		Levington Park, Levington		4.23	FZ1		vo				o Z				9.	0.0						ock geology is gene clay, silt and deposits: bedeck clays, sands lifts in fricial geology is al sand and gravel sits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that imfiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.
		Land at Silverlace Green (former airfield) Parham	EMP	2.26	FZ1		<b>~</b> 0				<u>0</u>				0.0	0.0				***	1999 19 97 10 100000 1000	ock geology is Jene clay, silt and deposits: beded clays, sands silts. fricial geology is	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.



1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	١.	:				1					:	'		:	3							
Part		эпе Name		Area (ha)		Flood Zone 1	Flood Zone 2	Flood Zone		Surrace w High Me			of this site	er riood	T) under	) (000T u		Pazard		eology	Innitration potential	Development Vlability
Former wifted Robert Ro			sodo									- 1						1		ı		
Particular   Par			ıd					Area (ha)	% of this site			0 0			0.60		1.20					
Proceeding   Process   P		Former airfield Parham	EMP	5.74	FZ1										0.0	0.0		•	•	edrock geology is leogene clay, silt and and deposits: retroede clays, sands nd silts. uperficial geology is lacial Till.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of on-site drainage, inflitation SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
Land at Bridge Bup 0.73 F21 ON 12 100%		Riverside Industrial Estate, Border Cot Lane, Wickham	EMP	2.01	FZ1										0.0					redrock geology is leogene clay, silt and and deposits: therbeded clays, sands nd silts. uperficial geology is lacial sand and gravel leosits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of onsite drainage, inflitration SuDS are likely to be viable but will be based on final use of Employment Site. This should be documented in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.
Land off According by A 459 FZ1 459 100% Yes		Land at Bridge Road, Felixstowe	EMP	0.73	FZ1										0.0					edrock geology is leogene clay, silt and and deposits: nterbeded clays, sands nd silts. uperficial geology is rag Group.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LFA consultation.
Masterlord Industrial Estate EMP 6.82 100% Masterlord Eastlands Eastlands  Mostrial Estate EMP 7.15 100% FZ1 6.82 100% FZ2 100% F		Land off Woodbridge Road, Framlingham	EMP	4.59	FZ1										0.0	0.0				edrock geology is leogene clay, silt and and deposits: nterbeded clays, sands nd silts. uperficial geology is lacial Till.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
Eastlands Eastlands Endiatrial Estate EMP 7.15 FZ1 7.15 100% Eastlands Indiatrial Estate EMP 7.15 FZ1 7.15 100% Eastlands  Superficial geology is Ness Yes Yes Yes Yes 0.9 2.5 0.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.75 and silts.  Superficial geology is resting Good indiation infiltration state deposits.		Masterlord Industrial Estate	EMP	6.82	FZ1										0.0	0.0				redrock geology is leagene clay, silt and and deposits: therbeded clays, sands nd silts. Uperficial geology is lacial sand and gravel lecial sand and gravel eposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDS are likely to be viable but will be based on final use of Employment Site. This should be documented in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.
		Eastlands Industrial Estate	EMP	7.15	FZ1										0.0					edrock geology is leogene clay, silt and and deposits: terbeded clays, sands nd silts. uperficial geology is lacial sand and gravel lacial sand and gravel	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that thinlitration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.



SITE	Site Name	əsı	Total Site	e Flood	Fluvial Flood Zone	ood Zone				Surface	Surface water risk	J	Surface	Nater Flo	od Depti	SurfaceWater Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)	00) (m)	βıt	čΖ	Geology	Infiltration	Development Viability
<b>a</b>		N pəsodo	Area (na		Flood Zone 1	ne 1	Flood Zone 2	Flood Zone 3	one 3	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk	% of this site	site				 ezeH			potential	
		oıq			Area (ha)	% of this site	Area (ha) %e of this site	Area (ha)	% of this site				0.00	0.15 0 - 0.30 0	0.30 0.0	0.60 0.90  0.90 1.20	0 , 1.20	ı				
SCDC 73	Martlesham Heath General Employment Area	EMP	35.36	FZ1	35.36	100%				, Yes	Yes	Yes	2.7	7.5 3	3.5	0.3 0.0	0.0	> 5.00	0 Z	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits. Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Crag Group.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.
SCDC 75	Land at Innocence Farm	EMP	115.64	FZ1	115.64	100%				Kes Kes	× es	× kes	0.1	1.8	0.2	0.0	0:0	0.50 -	Š	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits. Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Crag Group.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SUDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.
SCDC 76	Land at Felixstowe Road	EMP	22.53	FZ1	22.53	100%				Ke ss	, Ke	×	0.1	0.2	.0 .3	0.0	0.0	0.50 -	o Z	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Crag Group.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SUDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.
SCDC 77	Land off Victoria Mill Road	RESI	2.61	FZ1	2.61	100%				Yes	Yes	×	1.3	0.2	0.2 0.	0.0	0.0	0.50 -	Yes	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, sift and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of on-site drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
SCDC 78	The Old Gas Works site	RESI	0.13	FZ1	0.13	100%				<u>8</u>	o Z	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0:0	0:00	Yes	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LLFA consultation. A drainage stategy is recommended. In terms of onsite drainage, riffltation SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
SCDC 79	INZ , Leiston	MIX	1.25	FZ1	1.25	100%				<u>8</u>	° 2	2	0:0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	Yes	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, but may be dependant on the final use of this site. Further investigation and details on the on-site SuDS should be included in the drainage strategy, Consultation



E s	Site Name		Total Site	Flood	Fluvial Flood Zone	one			Surface	ace water risk	¥	Surface	Water Flo	od Depti	SurfaceWater Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)	(m) (00	bie	čΖe	Geology	Infiltration	Development Viability
≘		n pəsod	Area (iid)		Flood Zone 1	Flood Zone 2	Floo	Flood Zone 3	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk	% of this site	site				SZ6H			potential	
		oad			Area (ha) % th	% of Area (ha) %e this th site si	%e of Area this (ha) site	ea % of a) this site	l			0.00	0.15 0 - 0.30 0	0.30 0.0	0.60 0.90  0.90 1.20	0 20					
SCDC 80	TC2 Leiston	MIX	1.08	FZ1	1.08	100%			2	Yes	Yes	10.0	15.7	0.1	0.0	0:0	0.50 -	Yes	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, but may be dependant on the final use of this site. Further investigation and details on the on-site SuDS should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ
SCDC 81	Land to the west of Garden Square	RESI	5.05	FZ1	5.05 100	100%			2	× ×	Yes	0.4	2.5 (	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.50 -	Yes	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of on-site drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
SCDC 82	Land opposite Townsfield Cottages Laxfield Road	RESI	09:0	FZ1	0.60 100	100%			Yes	> ×	Kes	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.50 - 0.75	Yes	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits. Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LLR4 consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
SCDC 83	Land north east of Street Farm	RESI	2.19	FZ1	2.19 100	100%			Yes	× ×	Yes	5.9	1.7 (	0.4	0.4 0.0	0.0	0.50 -	Yes	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of on-site drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
SCDC 84	Land to the rear of Rose Hill, Saxmundham Road	RESI	3.00	FZ1	3.00 100	100%			Yes	×	Yes	0.2	2.3	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.50 -	Yes	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Watern Company on SPZ.
SCDC 85	Land off Howlett Way,	RESI	10.64	FZ1	10.64 100	100%			, ≪ ≪	× 8	, kes	0.7	2.0	1.4 0	0.4 0.1	1 0.0	0.50 -	o Z	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.



Site Name	e	Total Site		Fluvial Flood Zone	0		Surfa	Surface water risk	0,	SurfaceWater Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)	ter Flood	Depth (1	in 1000)	Œ	F		Geology	Infiltration	Development Viability
	sn pəsod	Area (ha)	Zone	Flood Zone 1	Flood Zone 2	Flood Zone 3	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low 9 Risk	% of this site	a.				hezeH	ZdS	3	potential	
	orq			Area (ha) % of this site	Area (ha) %e of this site	Area % of (ha) this site	of is			0.00 0.15 - 0.15 0.30	.5 0.30	0.60	0.90	, 1.20			I		
Land North of Conway Close,	RESI	3.83	FZ1	3.83 100%			, Yes	Yes	Yes	0.9 2.8	8 0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.50 -	2	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Crag Group.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.
Land north of Mill Close,	RESI	0.79	FZ1	0.79 100%	<b>19</b>		8	o Z	o Z	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	00.00	o Z	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LLFA consultation. Adrainage strategy is recommended. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.
Land south of Ambleside, Main Road	in RESI	1.86	FZ1	1.86 100%	va.		Yes	Ϋ́es	, kes	0.0	1 0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.50 -	× × ×	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
Land south of Lower Road,	RESI	2.45	FZ1	2.45 100%	.a		Yes	Yes	Yes	10.7 11.3	3 10.6	1.0	0.2	0:0	0.50 -	Yes	Bedrock geology is London Clay. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ
Land to the east of Aldeburgh Road	st RESI	1.65	FZ1	1.65 100%	10		<u>0</u>	o Z	o Z	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	000	Š	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuUS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.
Land East of Redwald Road,	RESI	4.29	FZ1	4.29 100%			Yes	Yes	Yes	3.6 7.6	6.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.50 -	°2	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.



14   14   14   14   15   15   15   15																					
Part	SIE C	Site Name		Total Site Area (ha)	Flood Zone	Fluvial Flood Zone				Surface w.	ater risk	Sur	faceWate	r Flood D	epth (1 ir.	1000) (n	<u>ء</u>		ology	Infiltration	Development Viability
Fig. 10   Fig. 10   Fig. 1   Fig. 10   Fig. 1   Fig. 10   Fig. 1	j					Flood Zone 1	Flood Zone 2	Flood Zon					if this site					<sub> S</sub>			
Hand Spread Holy Bridge Holy B			ма				Area (ha)	Area (ha)	% of this site			0.0					, 1.20				ì
Exemple   11.3   2.53   E21   2.53   100%   Tree	SCDC 92		RESI	0.42	FZ1		.2							0.0	0.0				drock geology is ogene clay, silt and d deposits: erbeded clays, sands 1 silts. emficial geology is cial sand and gravel	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LEA consultation. A drainage strategy, is recommended. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.
South Meghbourhood Survival Response of the control	SCDC 93		RESI	2.53	FZ1									0.0	0.0				drock geology is ogene clay, silt and deposits: arbeded clays, sands 1 silts. eefficial geology is cial Till.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	A site -specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
Land to the south of EASH AT 7 120 Mo No	SCDC 94		RESI	44.97	FZ1		.2							0.3	0.0				drock geology is goone clay, silt and deposits: arbeded clays, sands silts. Self.s. optical geology is cial Till.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	A site specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
Land adjacent to Reve Lodge, High Read, RESI 8.58 100% No Yes Yes 0.0 1.8 1.9 0.0 0.0 0.50 No 0.550 No	SCDC 95		RESI	3.47	FZ1									0.0	0.0				drock geology is ogene clay, silt and trd deposits: arbeded clays, sands 1 silts. Perficial geology is group.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.
Land to the south of RESI 7.32 100% No Yes 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	scDC 96			88588	FZ1		io							1.9	0.0				drock geology is ogene clay, silt and ind deposits: erbeded clays, sands 1 silts: erficial geology is cial sand and gravel	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that timitation SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.
	SCDC 97			7.32	FZ1		10							0.0	0.0				drock geology is ogene clay, silt and rid deposits: arbeded clays, sands silts. Selfs: oerficial geology is g Group.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.



Development Viability			A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of on- site drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.	This site could be permitted subject to IPA/LIFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. It is likely that irrnifitation SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LIFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of on-site drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation to Lous are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
Infiltration	potential		Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Minimal infiltration potential, sinfiltration testing not recommended in	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Good Linfiltration so potential, infiltration testing serviced ser	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	Minimal infiltration be potential, infiltration testing not
Geology			Bedrock geology is Neogene day, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Neogene day, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded days, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Bedrock geology is Neogene day, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded days, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Crag Group.	Bedrock geology is Neogene day, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded days, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is
čΖd	dS .		<u>0</u> 2	Yes	2	Yes	Yes Y	≺es
bıa	rzeH		> 2.00	0.50 -	00:0	0.50 -	0.50 -	0.00
(m) (c		1.20	0.	0.0	0.0	0.	0.0	0.0
SurfaceWater Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)		0.90	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
Depth (		0.60	1.2	0.0	Ö	1.0	0.0	0.0
er Flood		5 0.30	19.4	0.7	0.0	1.0	0.1	0.0
faceWat	% of this site	0 0.15	. 9.1	0.5	0.0	2.0	5 0.2	0.0
Sur		0.00	. 3.7	0.0	0.0	2.0	ss 0.5	0.0
risk	um Low Risk		Yes	, Yes	<u>N</u>	Yes	, ∀es	o N
Surface water risk	Medium Risk		√es	Yes	<u>8</u>	9	√es	S Z
Surfe	High Risk		≺es	2	2	2	, ∀es	2
	one 3	% of this site						
	Flood Zone 3	Area (ha)						
	21	%e of this site						
	Flood Zone 2	Area (ha)						
od Zone	п	% of this site	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Fluvial Flood Zone	Flood Zone 1	Area (ha)	5.09	2.43	1.35	0.62	0.87	1.33
Flood	·		FZ1	FZ1	173	FZ1	FZ1	FZ1
Total Site Area (ha)			509	2.43	1.35	0.62	0.87	1.33
əsr	ր pəsodd	ova	RESI	RESI	RESI	RESI	RESI	RESI
Site Name			Land West of B1125, Westleton	Land South of Forge Close between Main Road and Ayden, Benhall	Land to the South East of Levington Lane, Bucklesham	land to the south of Station Road, Campsea Ashe	Land behind 15 St Peters Close, Charsfield	Land Bounded by Helmingham Road & Ipswich Road, Otley
	≘		SCDC	SCDC 96	SCDC Si	la SCDC sc 101 R	SCDC 16	SCDC by R. B.



)																						
SITE	Site Name		Total Site Area (ha)	Flood	Fluvial Flood Zone				જ	Surface water risk	ter risk	š	SurfaceWater Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)	ter Flood	Depth (	in 1000	(E)	bıa	čΖd	Geology	Infiltration	Development Viability
}		pəsodo			Flood Zone 1	Flood Zone 2		Flood Zone 3		High Me Risk Risl	Medium L Risk R	Low % Risk	% of this site	d)				zeH	IS	'		
		oıq			Area (ha) % of this site	Area (ha)	%e of / this	Area % (ha) t	% of this site			0 0	0.00 0.15	5 0.30	0.60	0.90	, 170			1		
SCDC 104	Land at Chapel Road, Otley	RESI	1.70	FZ1	1.70 100%					8		, , , ,	0.0	0:0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.50 -	, es	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDs are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDs are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
SCDC 105	Land at The Street & Mill Lane, Brandeston,	RESI	1.46	EZ	1.46 100%				2	9	<u>8</u>	Yes	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0:0	0.50 -	Yes	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial TIII.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDs are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDs are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
SCDC 106	Land to the west of Ipswich Road, Grundisburgh	RESI	2.78	FZ1	2.78 100%				۷	2	<u>0</u>	0 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0:0	0.00	Kes	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of on-site drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
SCDC 107	Land north of the Street, Kettleburgh	RESI	0.75	FZ1	0.75 100%				2	2	<u>0</u>	Yes 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.50 -	Yes	Bedrock geology is Chalk. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LLFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
SCDC 108	Land off Keightley Way, Tuddenham	RESI	1.54	FZ1	1.54 100%				2	2	0 2	o Se	0.2 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0:0	0.50 -	, es	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Alluvium.	Variable infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of onsite drainage there is potential for infiltration SuDS at the site, although investigation is required as part of the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ
SCDC 109	Land between High Street and Chapel Lane	RESI	6.16	FZ1	6.16 100%				2	<u>8</u>	o Z	Yes 0	0.4 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.50 -	Yes	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interpreded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy, Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ
SCDC 110	Land at Mow Hill, Witnesham	RESI	1.20	FZ1	1.20 100%				>	es Se	, es	√ es 0	0.3 0.9	0.4	0.3	0.1	0:0	, 2.00 2.00	Yes	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of onsite drainage, inflitration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.

			This site could be permitted subject to	LPA/LEA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.				
			Good infiltration s potential, infiltration testing			- Φ		
dS	.		Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts.  No and silts.	Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Glacial sand and gravel deposits.  Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits:  No Interbeded clays, sands and silts.  Superificial geology is Crag Group.			
	PH		00.0		0.00			
		1.20	0.0		0.0	0 0	0 0 0	
		50 0.90	0.0		0.0			
		0.30 0.60	0.0		0.0			
	is site	0.15 0	. 00		000			
	% of this site	0.00	0:0		0.0			
	Risk		Q		Š.			
	k Risk		0 0		<u>8</u>			
E is	Zisi	% of this site			2	2 2	2 2	€ 2 €
Flood Zone 3		Area % (ha) tf						
		%e of / this ( site						
Flood Zone 2		Area (ha)						
		% of A this site	100%	100%	2	700%	1000%	1000%
Flood Zone 1		Area (ha)	89. 0	0.78		1.11	0.56	1.11
	ı		FZ1	FZ1		FZ1	FZ1	FZ1 FZ1
				0.78		111	0.56	1111
U Area (ha)	pəsodo	ма	RESI	RESI		RESI	RESI RESI	RESI RESI
			Land adjacent Levington Park, Bridge Road, Levington	land south of Sutton Walks, Sutton		Land north of The Street, Darsham	Land north of The Street, Darsham Land to the rear of 31.37 Bucklesham Road, Kirton	Land north of The Street, Darsham Land to the rear of 31.37 Bucklesham Road, Kirton Sports Centre, High Road East, Felixstowe
₽			SCDC 1111	SCDC 112		SCDC 113		





wood.	Development Viability			A site specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.	This site is located within an area with moderate tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is also required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. Surface water flood risk high in parts. In terms of on-site drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.
	Infiltration	potential		Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required
	Geology Geology	uc.		Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: No Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Crag Group.	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand depositis: Yes Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: No Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Crag Group.
		szeH		0.50 - 0.75	. 200	> 2.00
	Fluvial Flood Zone Surface water risk Surface Water Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)	Flood Zone 1 Flood Zone 2 Flood Zone 3 High Medium Low % of this site Risk Risk Risk	Area (ha) % of Area (ha) % e of Area % of this this (ha) this site site 0.15 0.30 0.60 0.90 > 0.12 0.30 0.60 0.90 > 0.12 0.30 0.60 0.90 > 0.12 0.30 0.60 0.90 1.20	443 100% Yes Yes No 0.0 2.1 1.1 0.0 0.0 0.5	0.78 98% 0.01 2% Yes Yes No 0.7 4.0 15.5 34.2 3.4 1.7 > 2	41.68 100% Yes Yes No 1.4 6.2 2.6 0.5 0.2 0.5 > 2
ns UK Limite	Flood	9507		FZ1	FZ	FZ1
© Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited	& Total Site	n pəsod	ova		ss MIX 0.80	Hi- EMP 41.68
B14 © Wood Environr	SITE Site Name	<b>a</b>		SCDC Land at Abbey 228 Road	SCDC Land off Vyces 229 Road/Brook Lane	SCDC Martlesham Hi-





Development Viability			This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is a required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. In terms of on-site drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.	This site is located within an area with moderate tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LIFA and EA is also required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. In terms of on-site drainage, infiltration SLDS are likely to be viable but will be based on final use of Employment Site. This should be documented in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.	Large proportion of this site in Flood Zone 3. This site is located within an area of wey high and significant idial or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required and early consultation with the LLFA and EA is essential. A large proportion of this site is located within Flood Zone 3 and modelling may be required. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, but may be dependant on the final use of this site. Further investigation and details on the on-site SuDS should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ	This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is also required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. In terms of on-site drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
Infiltration	potential		Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended
Geology			Bedrock geology is Chalk. Superficial geology is Glacial TII.	Bedrock geology is Chalk. Superficial geology is River terrace deposits.	Bedrock geology is Chalk. Superficial geology is None recorded.	Bedrock geology is Lamberth Group: Clay. Superficial geology is None recorded.
p.rd \$Z\$	szeH					
Fluvial Flood Zone Surface water risk Surface Water Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)	Flood Zone 2 Flood Zone 3 High Medium Low % of this site Risk Risk Risk	(ha) % of Area (ha) % e of Area % of this this (ha) this site site site (na) this (na) this site (na) this	0 0% 0.21 63% 0.12 37% Yes Yes No 6.4 1.1 33.0 47.2 1.9 0.0 0.50 0.75	8 100% 0.02 0% Yes Yes No 8.4 11.8 6.6 0.2 0.0 0.0 0.50 - 0.75	0 0% 0.17 16% 0.90 84% No No No O.5 5.3 2.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.75	.5 24% 0.40 65% 0.07 12% Yes Yes No 4.6 2.5 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.75
Flood Fluv		Area (ha)	- C000	F72 4.68	FZ3 0.00	FZ3 0.15
Total Site Flo			0.33	4.70	1.07	0.62
Site Name	η pəsod	oıd	Depot, Beaconsfield RESI Road	Land between railway junction and Hadleigh Road	Bus Depot, Sir Alf Ramsey Way	Smart Street/Foundatio MIX n Street
SITE	≘		IBC 2	IBC 3	IBC 4	IBC 5

Area (ha) Zone	Area (ha) Zone Elond Zone Elond Zone 2	Total Site Flood Fluvial Flood Zone Area (ha) Zone Eleval Zone	Flood Fluvial Flood Zone Zone Elood Zono 1 Elood Zono 2	Fluvial Flood Zone	Elond Zone 3			1 2	Z Zono		8			Surface Water Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)	er Flood	Depth (1	in 1000)	(m)	bıszst	¿Zd\$	Geology	Infiltration potential	Development Viability
Flood Zone 1 Flood Zone 2 Flood Zone 3  Op	Flood Zone 1 Flood Zone 2	Flood Zone 1 Flood Zone 2	Flood Zone 2	Flood Zone 2	Flood Zone 2			lood Zone 3	m	T ~	High Me Risk Ris	Medium Lo Risk Ri	Low Risk	% of this site					?H				
Area (ha) % of Area (ha) % of Area % of this this (ha) this site site site	Area (ha) % of Area (ha) %e of Area this this (ha) site site	Area (ha) % of Area (ha) %e of Area this this (ha) site site	% of Area (ha) %e of Area this this (ha) site site	% of Area (ha) %e of Area this this (ha) site site	% of Area (ha) %e of Area this this (ha) site site	%e of Area this (ha) site	Area (ha)		% of this site				00.	0.00 0.15 - 0.15 0.30	5 0.30	0.60	0.90	1.20					
West End Road RESI 1.21 FZ3 0.16 13% 0.63 52% 0.42 35%	RESI 1.21 <b>FZ3</b> 0.16 13% 0.63 52% 0.42	1.21 FZ3 0.16 13% 0.63 52% 0.42	FZ3 0.16 13% 0.63 52% 0.42	0.16 13% 0.63 52% 0.42	13% 0.63 52% 0.42	52% 0.42	0.42		35%		Yes	Yes	O Z	. 1.1 5.5	2.5	0	0.0	0.0	0.50 -	Yes	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay. Superficial geology is River terrace deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluval flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is also required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Waten Company on SPZ.
Burrell Road RESI 0.43 <b>FZ3</b> 0.07 16% 0.03 7% 0.34 77%	RESI 0.43 FZ3 0.07 16% 0.03 7% 0.34	0.43 FZ3 0.07 16% 0.03 7% 0.34	FZ3 0.07 16% 0.03 7% 0.34	0.07 16% 0.03 7% 0.34	16% 0.03 7% 0.34	7% 0.34	0.34		%		, Kes	≪	ý S	O. 83 99	5.7	0	0:0	0.0	0.50 -	,≺ es	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	This site is located within an area of very high and significant tidal or fluvial flood risk assessment will be required and early consultation with the LLFA and EA is essential. A large proportion of this site is located within Flood Zone 3 and modelling may be required, it is likely that infiltration SuDS are valea at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.
Island Site RESI 6.02 FZ3 0.00 0% 0.25 4% 5.77 96%	6.02 FZ3 0.00 0% 0.25 4% 5.77	6.02 FZ3 0.00 0% 0.25 4% 5.77	FZ3 0.00 0% 0.25 4% 5.77	0.00 0% 0.25 4% 5.77	0% 0.25 4% 5.77	5.77	5.77		%96		2	Yes	o' 2	0.1 2.5	0.0	0	0:0	0.0	0.50 -	× es	Bedrock geology is Chalk. Superficial geology is None recorded.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Large proportion of this site in Flood Zone 3. This site is located within an area of very high and significant tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required and early consultation with the LLFA and EA is essential. A large proportion of this site is located within Flood Zone 3 and modelling may be required. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ
Land between Lower Owell MIX 0.39 FZ2 0.37 95% 0.02 5% Street and Star MIX 0.39 FZ2 0.37 95%	MIX 0.39 FZZ 0.37 95% 0.02	0.39 F72 0.37 95% 0.02	F72 0.37 95% 0.02	0.37 95% 0.02	95% 0.02		%2				, kes	, es	φ <u>Q</u>	6.7 5.8	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.50 -	, kes	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay. Superficial geology is None recorded.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	This site is located within an area with moderate tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is also required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. In herms of on-site drainage, inflitration SuDS are unlikely to be wable at this site and attenuation SuDs are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.





Development Viability			This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluxial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is last or equired. A sequential approach to site design will be required. In terms of on-site drainage, inflittation SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.	This site is located within an area with moderate tidal or fluvial flood risk assessment thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is also required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.	This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is also required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. The majority of this site is in Flood Zone 2. Thus for a housing development further modelling is likely required. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are wiable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.	Large proportion of this site in Flood Zone 3. This site is located within an area of very high and significant tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required and early consultation with the LLFA and EA is essential. A large proportion of this site is located within Flood Zone 3 and modelling may be required. It is likely that imflitration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.
Infiltration	potential		Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required
Geology		I	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay. Superficial geology is None recorded.	Bedrock geology is Chalk. Superficial geology is None recorded.	Bedrock geology is Chalk. Superficial geology is None recorded.	Bedrock geology is Chalk. Superficial geology is None recorded.
	ezeH		0.50 - 0.75 Y	0.75 - Y	0.50 - 0.75 Y	0.50 - Y 0.75 Y
Fluvial Flood Zone Surface water risk Surface Water Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)	ne 1 Flood Zone 2 Flood Zone 3 High Medium tow % of this site Risk Risk Risk	% of Area (ha)	S0% 0.46 40% 0.11 10% Yes Yes No 6.6 16.5 4.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5	99% 0.00 1% No No No 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	0% 0,47 82% 0,10 18% No No No 0,0 0,1 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,5	0% 0.00 0% 0.16 100% No Yes No 0.0 0.3 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5
Fluvial Fl	Flood Zone 1	Area (ha)	0.58	0.22	000	000
Hood			FZ3	FZ2	FZ3	FZ3
Total Site	Area (ha)		1.15	0.22	0.57	0.16
	n pəsod	oıq	RESI	RESI	RESI	RE SS
SITE Site Name	Θ		Land between Old Cattle Market and Star Lane	IBC 13 Handford Road (east)	Transco, south RC 14 of Patteson Road	IBC 15 Silo, College Street

January 2019 Doc Ref. 41086RR037i4

			3	
		,		
		3	8	
ĺ	Į		Į	
	ŀ	i	Š	

January 2019 Doc Ref. 41086RR037i4

Development Viability			This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is also required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. In terms of on-site drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.	This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is sloc required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.	Large proportion of this site in Flood Zone 3. This site is located within an area of very high and significant tidal or fluwal flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required and early consultation with the LLFA and EA is essential. A large proportion of this site is located within Flood Zone 3 and modelling may be required. It is likely that infiltration SuDs are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ	This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is sloo required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.
Infiltration	potential		Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required
Ç. Geology	 		Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay. Superficial geology is None recorded.	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay. Superficial geology is River terrace deposits.	Bedrock geology is Chalk. Yes Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay. Superficial geology is River terrace deposits.
	ezeH		0.50 - V 0.75 Y	0.50 - 0.75 Y	0.50 - 0.75	√ 0000
Œ.		, 1.20		0.1 0	o o	0.0
Surface Water Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)		0.90	0.	0.3	0.0	0.0
Depth (1		0.60	0	Ö	0	0
ter Flood	a.	5 0.30 - 0 0.60	0.0	6.4	9.	0.0
ırface Wa	% of this site	0.00 0.15 - 0.15 0.30	1.9	4.8 8.2	0.3 0.7	0.0 0.0
ß	Low % Risk	0 0	- - 1	ON 4	0 2	0 9V
ater risk	Medium Risk		2	.∀ S	, Ke	<b>9</b>
Surface water risk	High M Risk Ri		2	.≺ es	≺es	2
		% of this site		1%	83%	23%
	Flood Zone 3	Area (ha)	80 .0	0.03	60.0	0.02
		%e of this site		1%	%	%0
	Flood Zone 2	Area (ha)	0.11	0.02	000	0.00
Zone		% of this site	73%	%86	17%	%17
Fluvial Flood Zone	Flood Zone 1	Area (ha)	0.52	2.92	0.02	90:0
Flood	9107		FZ3	FZ3	FZ3	FZ3
Total Site			0.70	2.97	0.11	0.08
	n pəsodo	мd	XIIV	RESI	RESI	RESI
Site Name			Commercial Bidgs & Jewish Burial Ground, Star Ln	Arciion House and Elton Park Industrial Estate, Hadleigh Road	Webster's saleyard site, Dock Street	23-25 Burrell Road
SITE	Ω.		IBC 20	IBC 21	IBC 22	IBC 23



wood.	Development Viability			Large proportion of this site in Flood Zone 3. This site is located within an area of very high and significent tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood consultation with the LLFA and EA is essential. A large proportion of this site is located within Flood Zone 3 and modelling may be required. It is likely that infilltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ	Large proportion of this site in Flood Zone 3. This site is located within an area of very high and significant tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood misk assessment will be required and early consultation with the LLFA and EA is essential. A large proportion of this site is located within Flood Zone 3 and modelling may be required. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ	Large proportion of this site in Flood Zone 3. This site is located within an area of very high and significent tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood consultation with the LLFA and EA is essential. A large proportion of this site is located within Flood Zone 3 and modelling may be required. In terms of on site drainage, infiltration SuDS are likely to be viable but will be based on final use of Employment Site. This should be documented in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ
	Infiltration	ротентія		Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required
	K. Geology	dS		Bedrock geology is Chalk. Superficial geology is None recorded.	Bedrock geology is Chalk Superficial geology is None recorded.	Bedrock geology is Chalk. Yes Superficial geology is None recorded.
	рлę	SZEH		0.50 -	> 2.00	0.50 -
	Fluvial Flood Zone Surface water risk Surface Water Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)	Flood Zone 1 Flood Zone 3 High Medium Low % of this site Risk Risk Risk	Area (ha) % of Area (ha) %e of Area % of this this this site site site a	0.00 0% 0.00 0% 0.71 100% Yes Yes No 0.9 15.2 5.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	0.00 0% 0.85 100% Yes Yes No 1.7 17.5 43.1 20 0.2 0.2 >	0.00 0% 0.04 2% 2.18 98% Yes Yes No 0.6 192 47.1 1.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
UK Limited	Flood F		*	FZ3	FZ3	FZ3
nfrastructure Solutions	Total Site	(iii)	014	RESI 0.71	RESI 0.85	EMP 2.21
820 © Wood Environm ent & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited	SITE Site Name	n pəsod	⊶ <b>.</b> a	IBC 24 Cranfields R	IBC 25 Regatta Quay R	Old Cattle Market site, Portman Road (South)



	Development valuity		Large proportion of this site in Flood Zone 3. This site is located within an area of very high and significant tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk as sessened for flood and early consultation with the LLFA and EA is essential. A large proportion of this site is located within Flood Zone 3 and modelling may be required. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ	This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is also required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. In terms of on site drainage there is potential for infiltration SUDS at the site, although investigation is required as part of the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ	Large proportion of this site in Flood Zone 3. This site is located within an area of very high and significant tideal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required and early consultation with the LLFA and EA is essential. A large proportion of this site is located within Flood Zone 3 and modelling may be required. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ	This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is also required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. In terms of on-site drainage there is potential for infiltration SUDS at the site, although investigation is required as part of the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ
7. Ch	potential		Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Variable infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Variable infiltration potential, infiltration testing required
	SS SS €ZdS		Bedrock geology is Chalk. Superficial geology is None recorded.	Bedrock geology is Thanet formation: Interbeded sands, gravels and silt. Superficial geology is None recorded.	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay. Yes Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Thanet formation: Interbeded sands, gravels and silt. Superficial geology is None recorded.
	bıszsH	1	0.50 -	0.50 -	0.50 -	> 2.00
[1]	ì	1.20	0:	o o	0.0	0.0
10001		0.90	00	00	0.0	0.0
Danth (1	1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	0.60	0 0	0.1	0.0	2.0
Flood		0.30	6.2	6.0	0.0	10.9
(m) (000) ii b) shared boots week (size 1000)	% of this site	0 0.15	9.2	0.4	7.4	8 20.2
	Low % c	0.00	%.	S 0 1.6	No 2.0	No 18.8
1000			Yes	Yes	2	Yes
April material and all and a state of the st	High Me		Yes	, Kes	2	√es
		% of this site	· %66	2%	- %86 - %86	7 %67
	Flood Zone 3	Area 9 (ha) 1	. 1.84 5	40.0	2.81	0.35
	문	%e of / this (	1%	1% 0	% %0	17% 0
	Flood Zone 2					
		f Area (ha)	0.03	0.01	0.00	6 0.21
Charle Class Town	one 1	a) % of this site	%0	%26	5%	54%
Physical	Flood Zone 1	Area (ha)	0000	1.73	0.05	0.65
700	Zone		FZ3	FZ3	FZ3	FZ3
Take Cite	Area (ha)		1.87	1.78	788	1.20
	əsN bəsoc	loıd	. RESI	RESI	RESI	RESI
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	one Name		Helena Road	Land between Cliff Quay and Landseer Road	Land at Commercial Road	(east)
1	i 0		IBC 30	IBC 32	IBC 37	IBC 44



	Favire	
	1000	
	2	
9	`	

Infiltration Development Viability	potential			This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and Enderlied. A sequential approach to site design will be required. It is likely infiltration that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, testing further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required infiltration potential, infiltration resting required	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required infiltration potential, infiltration testing required infiltration testing required required required required
	potential			Good Redrock geology is infiltration Lambeth Group: Clay. potential, Superficial geology is infiltration River terrace deposits. resting		
dS ezeh			-	Bedrock ge 0.50 - Yes Superficial 0.75 River terrar	× ← 68	\$ \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
^	060		0.0		00	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
0.30	0.15 0.30	0.30 0.60 0.90	3.9 5.6 0.1		29.5 13.2 0.0	13.2
Low % of this Risk 0.00		0.15			0 0 2	N O O O O O
High Medium Risk Risk	ما	e s	. Yes Yes		sa). Q %	28
Flood Zone Area	Area	(ha) this	. 0.26 35%		0.29 91%	0.29
Flood Zone 2 Area (ha) %e of		this	0.15 20%		86	
Flood Zone 1 Flo		% of this site	. 45%		%	%
Flood Z		Area (ha)	FZ3 0.34		FZ3 0000	
			0.76		0.31	
ŋ pəş	юd	orq	Banks of river, upriver from RESI Princes Street		Rear of Grafton House, Russell MIX Road	
<b>a</b>			Banks IBC 45 uprive Prince		Rear of Road Road	



Development Viability			This site could be permitted subject to IPA/ILFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. It is likely that innifitation SuDS are vable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, but may be dependant on the final use of this site. Further investigation and details on the on-site SuDS should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ	This site could be permitted subject to IPA/LFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of onsite drainage there is potential for infiltration SuDS at the site, although investigation is required as part of the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Wafer Company on SPZ
=	potential		Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Variable infiltration potential, infiltration testing required
Geology			Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is London Clay. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Bedrock geology is Chalk. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Chalk. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay. Superficial geology is Alluvium.
čΖα	dS		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
bre	szeH		0.50 -	0.50 -	0.50 -	0.50 -	0.50 -	0.50 -
(m) (c		1.20	0:0	0:0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0:0
Surface Water Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)		0.90	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	6.0	0.0
l Depth (		0.60	0.0	Ö	0.8	0.0	0. 0.	0.0
ter Flood	a)	5 0.30 - 0 0.60	0:0	1.0	2 1.7	1.0	7 2.2	0:0
rface Wa	% of this site	0.00 0.15 - 0.15 0.30	0:0	0 2.3	9 2.2	1 1.5	8 4.7	0.0
Su	Low % Risk	0.00	ON	N 6.0	0.9	No 4.1	No 0.8	0.0
er risk	Ę		-					
Surface water risk	h Medium : Risk		ο <sub>N</sub>	8	8	<u>8</u>	0 2	8 0
Sur	High Risk	Je S e	<u>0</u>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
	Flood Zone 3	% of this site	•					
	Flood	Area (ha)						
	7	%e of this site						
	Flood Zone 2	Area (ha)						
Zone		% of this site	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Fluvial Flood Zone	Flood Zone 1	Area (ha)	0.49	2.79	0.39	2.27	2.04	1.08
Flood	P 107		FZ1	FZ1	FZ1	FZ1	FZ1	FZ1
Total Site			0.49	2.79	0.39	2.27	2.04	1.08
	n pəsodo	Di4d	RESI	MIX	RESI	RESI	RESI	RESI
Site Name			Rear of Jupiter Road and Reading Road	Former Tooks Bakery, Old Norwich Road	Victoria Nurseries, Westerfield Road	Opposite 674- 734 Bramford Road	Land at Bramford Road (Stock's site)	Lavenham Road School site
SITE	_		IBC 67	IBC 68	IBC 69	IBC 70	IBC 7.1	IBC 72



		3	3
		6	3
_			
	Ġ		ì
	ľ	3	۲
	r	ě	۰

Infiltration Development Viability	potential		This site could be permitted subject to Good LPA/LFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. It is likely that potential, infiltration further investigation and details of which testing should be included in the drainage required Company on SPZ.	ry is decoded the stee specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration infiltration potential, ruther investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ	Minima Minima This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LEA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. In terms of oncogy is infiltration to be viable at this site and attenuation to be viable at this site and attenuation subs. SubS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.	Winning Infiltration strategy is recould be permitted subject to LPA/LLFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. In terms of onogy is infiltration to be viable at this site and attenuation to be viable at this site and attenuation substantial subs	yis infiltration proteinflation ogy is recommended. In terms of on- ogy is recommended to be viable at this site and attenuation testing not recommended in the drainage strategy.	y is Good be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration s. sands infiltration potential, infiltration should be included in the drainage covis testing
Geology		I	Bedrock geology is London Clay, S. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay. S. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay. Superficial geology is None recorded.	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay. Superficial geology is None recorded.	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay. Superficial geology is None recorded.	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is
έZ	dS <sub>eze</sub> h		. Ves	5 Yes	0 Yes	7 Yes	00 Yes	- √es
l di	-4eH	l _	> 5.00	0.50 -	0.00	0.50 -	> 2.00	0.50 - 0.75
(m) (0t		0 , 0	0.0	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(1 in 100		0 0.90	0.0	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
d Depth		09:0 0	0.0	0 7.2	0.0	7 0.0	رة 300	0.0
iter Floo	gų.	0.15 0.30  0.30 0.60	. 0.4	1 6.0	0.0	.6 13.7	.7 27.5	0.0
Surface Water Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)	% of this site	0.00 0.15	10.8 8.0	3.6 3.1	0.0	11.3 13.6	18.0 22.7	2.3 3.0
Su	Low % Risk	0 0	No 10	o S	0 2	0N 11	No 18	No 2
er risk	Medium Le Risk Ri		O Z	0 2	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	o 2	<u>0</u>
Surface water risk								
Sur	Flood Zone 3 High Risk	Area % of (ha) this site	. Yes	, kes	<u>0</u>	<u>8</u>	Yes	8
	Flood Zone 2	Area (ha) %e of this site						
ou Zone	H	% of this site	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Fluvial Flood Zone	Flood Zone 1	Area (ha)	0.88	3.70	0.30	0.32	0.49	2.22
Flood		•	FZ1	FZ1	FZ1	FZ1	FZ1	FZ1
Total Site	Area (na)		0.88	3.70	0.30	0.32	0.49	2.22
	∩ pəsod	oıq	RESI	RESI	RESI	RESI	RESI	RESI
Site Name			J J Wilson, White Elm Street	King George V Field, Old Nowich Road	Waterworks Street	Peter's Ice Cream etc, Grimwade Street	240 Wherstead Road	Co-op Depot, Felixstowe Road
SITE	0		IBC 73	IBC 74	IBC 75	IBC 76	IBC 77	IBC 78



Fig.																				
Full District No.   Full	E Q	Site Name			Flood Zone	Fluvial Flood Zone			જ	ırface wat	er risk	Surf	ace Water	Flood De	epth (1 in	1000) (m		Geology	Infiltration potential	Development Viability
Fig.			pəsodo			Flood Zone 1	Flood Zone 2	Flood Zone 3			E		this site				ı			
Felicitrone Road   Rish   2779   Rish   279   10795			ova				Area (ha)	-	% of this site			0.00	-	09:0			, 8			
Signature and the standard sta	IBC 79		RESI	2.79	FZ1					<u>o</u>				0.0				500 (0000) W. (000) (000 (000)	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that inflitation SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.
Mitten Street RES 0.29 FZI 0.29 100% No	IBC 80		RESI	11.85	FZ1				>					2.7					Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that inflitation SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.
Estway Business RESI 208 FZI 208 100% No	IBC 81		RESI	0.29	FZ1				2	<u>o</u>				0.0					Good infiltration s potential, infiltration testing	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.
Waterford Road         RESI         0.35         100%         No         No         0.2         0.1         0.0	IBC 82	Eastway Business Park, Europa Way		5.08	FZ1				2					0.5					Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.
Smart Street/Foundatio RESI 0.15 FZ1 0.15 100% Ves No No 3.6 2.9 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.75 Yes Superficial geology is Street (former Gym and Trim)  Street former None recorded.	IBC 83	Waterford Road	RESI	0.35	FZ1				2					0.0					Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
	IBC 84			0.15	FZ1				>					0.5					Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LLFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.





rtion Development Viability	iai		This site could be permitted subject to Good  LPA/LFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. It is likely that potential, infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, infiltration further investigation and details of which testing should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.	This site could be permitted subject to Good  LPA/LFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. It is likely that potential, infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, infiltration further investigation and details of which testing should be included in the drainage required  Company on SPZ	Good  A site-specific flood risk assessment will infiltration potential, further investigation and details of which infiltration should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water required  Company on SPZ	Good IPA/LIFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. It is likely that potential, infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, infiltration suDS are viable at this site, infiltration substituted in the drainage required strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.	This site could be permitted subject to Good LPA/LEA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. It is likely that potential, infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, infiltration further investigation and details of which testing should be included in the drainage required Company on SPZ.	Good be required for this site. In terms of on- infiltation site drainage, infiltation SuDS are likely to potential, be viable but will be based on final use of infiltation Employment Site. This should be testing documented in the drainage strategy.
Infiltration	potential				<u></u>	<u></u>		<u></u>
Geology			Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group. Clay. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is London Clay Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group, Clay, Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group, Clay, Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is London Clay. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.
čΖc	ı		. Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	, es
l ard	i Sz6H		^ 500	0.50 -	0.50 -	0.50 -	0.00	0.50 -
(m) (0c		1.20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0:0
(1 in 100		0 0.90	0:0	0:0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0:0
d Depth		00:00	7 0.0	1 0.0	000	0.0	0.0	0 0.0
ater Floo	et e	0.15 0.30 - 0.30 0.60	1.9 0.7	2.7 0.1	0.2 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.1 0.0
Surface Water Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)	% of this site	0.00 0.	. 0.0	5.0	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.3
Ŋ	Low % Risk	0 0	2	<u>S</u>	o S	o Z	o S	2
iter risk	Ę		0 2	o Z	o Z	o Z	o Z	o Z
Surface water risk	High Medi Risk Risk		9	9	<u>0</u>	o Z	<u> </u>	Yes
S		% of this site		_	_	_	_	
	Flood Zone 3	Area 9 (ha) 1						
	Foc							
	Flood Zone 2	Area (ha) %e of this site						
Zone		% of this site	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Fluvial Flood Zone	Flood Zone 1	Area (ha)	0.00	0.30	1.07	0.03	90.0	4.18
Flood	9		FZ1	FZ1	FZ1	FZ1	FZ1	FZ1
Total Site			0.07	0.30	1.07	0.03	0.06	4.18
əsſ	n pəsodo	y <sub>1</sub> q	RESI	RESI	RESI	RESI	RESI	EMP
Site Name			Church and land at Upper Orwell Street	79 Cauldwell Hall Road	BT Depot, Woodbridge Road	Old Foundry Road	Arcade Street	Former British Energy Site, Cliff Quay (south)
SITE	≘		IBC 85 a	IBC 86 7	IBC 87 V	IBC 88	IBC 89 ≯	<b>IBC90</b>





Development Viability			A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDS are likely to be viable but will be based on final use of Employment Site. This should be documented in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, infiltration restigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, therre investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.	A site specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LLFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. It is likely that imfiltation SuDS are vable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.
Infiltration De	potential		Good be infiltration sit potential, be infiltration testing decreased contractions and testing contractions are contractions and testing contractions and testing contractions are contractions and testing contractions and testing contractions are contracted as a contraction of the contraction o	Good A. infiltration be potential, inf infiltration ful testing sh required st	Good A. infiltration be potential, inf infiltration fur testing sh required st	Good A. infiltration be potential, infiltration ful testing shi required str	Good LP Good IP infiltration str potential, infiltration ful testing she required str	Good be infiltration intipotential, full infiltration she testing strength of the control of the
Geology	,		Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Neogene day, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded days, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Chalk. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.
ξZd	iS		, Yes	o Z	o Z	o Z	Yes	Yes
brea	zeH		0.00	0.50 -	0.50 -	0.50 -	0.50 -	> 2.00
Œ		1.20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Surface Water Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)		0.90	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Depth (1		0.60	o o	0.1	0	0	0	0.1
er Flood		0.30	0.0	5.6	10.4	0.1	0.0	2.0
ace Wate	% of this site	0.15	0.0	7.1	15.7	2,	0.0	1.3
Surf		0.00	. 00	0.2	6.8	2.7	0.0	9.0
ris	m Low Risk		<u>0</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>8</u>	8
Surface water risk	Medium Risk		o Z	<sup>0</sup> Z	o Z	o Z	o Z	Š
Surfa	High Risk	I	<u>8</u>	2	×es	× es	2	× × ×
	Flood Zone 3	Area % of (ha) this site						
	Flood Zone 2	Area (ha) %e of this site						
Zone	ш.	% of / this site	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Fluvial Flood Zone	Flood Zone 1	Area (ha)	3.76	7.37	1.18	7.82	0.39	1,33
Flood			FZ1	FZ1	FZ1	FZ1	FZ1	FZ1
Total Site Area (ha)			3.76	7.37	1.18	7.82	0.39	1.33
əsn	) pəsod	prq	EMP	EMP	EMP	E	RESI	RESI
Site Name			Land north of Whitton Lane	Airport Farm Kennels, north of A14	Land south of Ravenswood fronting Nacton Road	Land south of Ravenswood (Sports Park)	Duke Street	Mint Quarter/Cox Lane East
SITE			IBC 91	IBC 92	IBC 93 +	100 F	18C [	102 C

		•				Fluvial Flood Zone			Surface	Surface water risk		Surface V	/ater Floo	d Depth	(1 in 100	(m) (0	'	Geolom	Infiltration	Develonment Viability
Color   Colo	sn pəsod		Area		1	Flood Zone 1		ne 3	High Risk F			% of this s	ite				l nazeH	3	potential	
13   12   12   13   13   13   13   13	oıq				I		%e of this site	% of this site								1	ı			
Cool	112-116 Bramford Road R6	7.1		177	FZ1				8	o Z			-				0.50		Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LEA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
1	∝	ŭi		80	FZ1				2	<u>o</u> 2								Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LEA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. It is likely that infiltration SLOS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.
Cook   FZ1   0.56   100%   Ves   No   No   0.9   2.9   1.5   0.2   1.1   1.28   0.50   Ves   Superficial geology is militation testing a country of the control of the co	Sports Club, Henley Road	ŭ		287	FZ1				× × × ×	o Z							0.50	Bedrock geology is London Clay. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LLFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
222 FZ1 2.22 100% Yes No No 0.1 2.5 1.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.75 No Infitation infiltration contained and gravel deposits:  Superficial geology is Cood and silts.  Superficial geology is Cood and silts.  Superficial geology is required deposits.  Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing of Cood and silts.  Superficial geology is Cood and silts.  Whimmal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended testing to Cool and the cool and the cool and cool	Former Police Station, Civic Drive	RE:		99	FZ1				× × ×	o Z								Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group; Clay, Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LEA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. It is likely that infiltration SLUDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.
Ninimal Bedrock geology is infiltration ci.35 FZ1 0.35 100% No No No 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Áreas U, V & W Ravenswood, Nacton Road	RE		.22	FZ1				× × ×	o Z	o Z						0.50	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.
	2 Park Road	RE:		135	FZ1				<u>8</u>	o Z							0.00	Bedrock geology is London Clay. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LLFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.



ity			isk assessment will te. It is likely, that riable at this site, and details of which the drainage	mitted subject to n. A drainage ded. In terms of on- ion SuDS are unlikely e and attenuation led. This should be ge strategy.	This site could be permitted subject to IPA/LLFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. It is likely that infination SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.	isk assessment will let it is likely that rable at this site, and details of which the drainage required with Water required with Water	mitted subject to n. A drainage cled. In terms of on- ion SubZ. are unlikely e and attenuation led. This should be ge strategy.	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, therrier investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.
Development Viability			A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely, that inflitation SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LLFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. It is likely that infilitation SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Wate Company on SPZ.	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SoluS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.
Infiltration	ротепта		Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required
Geology			Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay. Superficial geology is None recorded.	Bedrock geology is Thanet formation: Interbeded sands, gravels and silt. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Bedrock geology is London Clay. Superficial geology is None recorded.	Bedrock geology is London Clay. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.
čΖc	dS.		o Z	, Ke	Yes	, Ke	, Ke	, kes
ard	zeH	ı	0.50 -	0.50 -	0.50 -	0.50 -	0.50 -	0.50 -
(m) (o		1.20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(1 in 100		0 0.90	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
d Depth		0.30 0.60  0.60 0.90	4.1 0.0	0.3	0.2 0.0	0.5 0.0	0.0	0.5 0.1
ater Floo	iŧ	0.15 0.30  0.30 0.60	. 4.	2.4 0.	0. 6.7		0.2 0	о 6
Surface Water Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)	% of this site	0.00 0		11.9	17.6	9.1	8. 8.	0.0
0,	Low Risk		0 Z	<u>0</u>	o Z	o Z	o Z	<u>8</u>
ater risk	Medium Risk	•	9	9	9	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>	2
Surface water risk	High M Risk R		× es	2	2	2	2	<b>8</b> ≻
		% of this site						
	Flood Zone 3	Area (ha)						
	표	%e of this site						
	Flood Zone 2	Area (ha) %						
od Zone	г.	% of this site	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Fluvial Flood Zone	Flood Zone 1	Area (ha)	5.01	0.08	0.21	1.57	0.38	4.51
Flood	2		FZ1	FZ1	FZ1	FZ1	FZ1	FZI
Total Site Area (ha)			5.01	0.08	0.21	1.57	0.38	4.51
	ր pəsodd	Did	EMP	RESI	RESI	RESI	RESI	RESI
Site Name			Land at Futura Park, Nacton Road	Car Park, Smart Street/Foundatio n Street	Hope Church, Fore Hamlet	Mint Quarter/Cox Lane West regeneration area	Former British Energy Site, Cliff Quay (north)	Former Norsk Hydro, Sandy Hill Lane
SITE	۵		IBC 111	IBC 113	IBC 114	116 116	IBC 117	118



	Site Name		Total Site Area (ha)	Flood	Fluvial Flood Zone					Surface	Surface water risk		Surface	Surface Water Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)	od Depth	(1 in 100	(m) (oc	braza	čΖdS	Geology	Infiltration potential	Development Viability
		pəsodo			Flood Zone 1	Flood Zone 2	one 2	Flood Zone 3	one 3	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk	% of this site	site				₽H	5			
		orq			Area (ha) % of this site	Area (ha)	a) %e of this site	Area (ha)	% of this site			•	0.00	0.15 0. - 0.30 0.	0.30 0.60  0.60 0.90	60 0.90 - 10 1.20	1.20	ı				
IBC 119	Ravenswood (south of Alnesbourne Crescent off Edith Cook Way)	RESI	3.60	FZ1	3.60 100%					SS ×	o Z	2	. 0		27 13	3 1.2	23	0.50 -	Š.	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.
120	Ravenswood	RESI	1.78	FZ1	1.78 100%					2	o Z	<u>8</u>	თ დ	10.0	0.4 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.50 -	Š	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy.
IBC 121	Civic Centre area, Civic Drive	MIX	0.76	FZ1	0.76 100%					×	o Z	o Z	2.2	3.7 2.	21.9 5.1	1 3.5	1.3	> 200	Yes	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group: Clay. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LEA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, but may be dependant on the final use of this site. Further investigation and details on the on-site SuDS should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ
IBC 122	Prince of Wales Drive	RESI	0.27	FZ1	0.27 100%					2	o Z	o Z	20.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.50 -	×	Bedrock geology is London Clay. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	This site could be permitted subject to LPA/LLFA consultation. A drainage strategy is recommended. It is likely that infiltration SLOEs are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ
IBC 129	Humber Doucy Lane area	RESI	38.22	FZ1	38.22 100%					× 8	o Z	<u>8</u>	1.2	1.6	0.3 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.50 -	⊗	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ
IBC 130	Whitton Church Lane area	RESI	11.54	FZ1	11.54 100%					× es	o Z	o Z	 8	2.1 0	0.6 0.0	0.0	0:0	0.50 -	⊗	Bedrock geology is Lambeth Group; Clay, Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.



Development Viability			Large proportion of this site in Flood Zone 3. This site is located within an area of very high and significant ideal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required and early consultation with the LLFA and EA is essential. A large proportion of this site is located within Flood Zone 3 and modelling may be required. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.	This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consulation with the LLFA and EA is laso required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.	Large proportion of this site in Flood Zone 3. This site is located within an area of very high and significant ideal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required and early consultation with the LLFA and EA is essential. A large proportion of this site is located within Flood Zone 3 and modelling may be required. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage should be included in the drainage strategy. Company on SPZ	This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consulation with the LLFA and EA is sloor required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ.
Infiltration	porellia		Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required
Geology Geology	ıs		Bedrock geology is Chalk. Yes Superificial geology is None recorded.	Bedrock geology is Chalk. Yes Superficial geology is None recorded.	Bedrock geology is Chalk. Yes Superficial geology is None recorded.	Bedrock geology is Chalk. Superficial geology is None recorded.
p16:	zeH		0.50 -	0.50 -	0.50 -	0.50 -
Surface water risk Surface Water Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)	Flood Zone 2 Flood Zone 3 High Medium Low % of this site Risk Risk Risk	Area (ha) %e of Area % of his this (ha) this site site than the site half of the his site half of the his his site half of the his his site half of the his	0.07 8% 0.76 84% Yes No No 2.8 7.8 6.1 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.51 33% 0.88 56% Yes No No 1.4 3.8 2.8 0.2 0.0 0.0	0.00 0% 2.06 100% Yes No No 0.9 11.1 30.2 1.1 0.3 0.0	0.19 30% 0.01 1% No No No 0.0 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0
Fluvial Flood Zone	Flood Zone 1	Area (ha) % of this site	0.07 8%	0.17 11%	%0 0000	0.41 68%
Flood F Zone —		1	FZ3	FZ3	- FZ3	FZ3
Total Site F Area (ha) Z			06:0	1.57	700	0.61
Site Name	) pəsodo	ova	Land west of Greyffiars Road RESI (lewsons)	Waste tip north of Sir Alf Ramsey RESI Way	Land bounded by Cliff Road, Toller Road and Holywells Road	Land east of Resi West End Road
SITE	≘		IBC 131	IBC 132	IBC 133	IBC 135



	;				:					'		:		:		3							
5 E	Site Name	əsN bə	l otal Site Area (ha)	Zone	Fluvial Flood Zone		Flood Zone 2	- F	Flood Zone 3		2		wol %	Surrace wate % of this site	Sufrace Water Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m) % of this site	Deptn (1	(nonT ui	Ē)	Pazard	čZdS	Geology	Inflitration potential	Development Viability
		Propos			Area (ha) % of this	f Area (ha)		%e of A this (1	Area % (ha) t	Ri. % of this	Risk Risk		- 1					, 1.20					
					site		S	ite.		site		-	0	0.15 0.30	09:0	0.90	1.20	ŀ		-			
IBC 136	Land west of West End Road	RESI	1.02	FZ3	990	0.33		32% 0	0.03	2 %8	2	<u>0</u>	S 6	1.1 2.9	0.0	00	0.0	0.0	0.50 -	× × ×	Bedrock geology is Chalk. Superficial geology is None recorded.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is also required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ
IBC 138	Ipswich Garden Suburb Phase N3a	RESI	53.06	FZ1	53.06 100%	*				>	es Ke	<u> </u>	S 0 2	2.3 2.1	1 1.1	0.5	0.3	0.0	> 2.00	× × ×	Bedrock geology is London Clay. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuOS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ
IBC 139	Ipswich Garden Suburb Phase N3b	RESI	60.09	FZ1	6.09 100%	%				>	Yes	9 2	No 7.	7.1 3.1	1 3.5	23	0.8	0.0	0.50 -	Y es	Bedrock geology is London Clay. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of onsite drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.
IBC 140	Ipswich Garden Suburb Phase N2b	RESI	7.32	FZ3	90%	6 0.19		3%	0.53	× ×	, √es	0 Z	8	0.6 2.9	9 11.0	9.1	1.0	0.2	0.50 -	, ≺es	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands sands silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	This site is located within an area with high tidal or fluvial flood risk and thus a site-specific flood risk assessment will be required. Consultation with the LLFA and EA is also required. A sequential approach to site design will be required. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy. Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ
18C	Ipswich Garden Suburb Phase N2a	RESI	42.70	FZ1	42.70 100%	*				>	, √es	<u>0</u>	o S	0.4 0.2	2 0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.50 -	, ∀es	Bedrock geology is Neogene clay, silt and sand deposits: Interbeded clays, sands and silts. Superficial geology is Glacial sand and gravel deposits.	Good infiltration potential, infiltration testing required	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. It is likely that infiltration SuDS are viable at this site, further investigation and details of which should be included in the drainage strategy, Consultation required with Water Company on SPZ
IBC 142	Ipswich Garden Suburb Phase N1a	RESI	43.29	FZ1	43.29 100%	%				>	Yes	9 2	0 2	0.4 0.7	7 1.1	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.50 -	, kes	Bedrock geology is London Clay. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of onsite drainage, inflitration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.

-	
- 02	
100	

B33	Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited																				
SITE	Site Name	əsı	Total Site Flood		Fluvial Flood Zone				Surfac	rface water risk	isk	Surface	Water Flo	od Depth	Surface Water Flood Depth (1 in 1000) (m)	(m) (o	βıt	ξZ	Geology	Infiltration	Development Viability
≘		n pəsod	(i)		Flood Zone 1	Flood Zone 2		Flood Zone 3	High Risk		n Low Risk	Medium Low % of this site Risk Risk	is site				SZ6H	dS		potemiai	
		oıq		I	Area (ha) % of this site	Area (ha)	%e of Ar this (h site	Area % of (ha) this site	I			0.00	0.30 0	0.30 0.60	0.00 0.15 0.30 0.60 0.90 	1.20			1		
IBC 143	Ipswich Garden Suburb Phase N1b	RESI	12.46	FZ1	12.46 100%				Yes	o Z	0 Z	0.1	0.1	0.1 0.1 0.0	0 0.0	0.0	0.50 -	, es	Bedrock geology is London Clay. Superficial geology is Glacial Till.	Minimal infiltration potential, infiltration testing not recommended	A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required for this site. In terms of on-site drainage, infiltration SuDS are unlikely to be viable at this site and attenuation SuDS are recommended. This should be included in the drainage strategy.