



Action Notes

Meeting	Ipswich Strategic Planning Area Board
Date	Wednesday 11th July 2018
Time	16:30
Location	Orwell Room, Grafton House, Ipswich
Present	Cllr Carole Jones (Ipswich Borough Council) (CJ) Cllr Tony Fryatt (Suffolk Coastal District Council) (TF) Cllr Nick Ridley (Babergh District Council) (NR) Cllr Glen Horn (Mid Suffolk District Council) (GH) Cllr Paul West (Suffolk County Council) (PW) - Chairman Tom Barker (Babergh/Mid Suffolk District Councils) (TB) Robert Hobbs (Babergh/Mid Suffolk District Councils) (RH) Carlos Hone (Ipswich Borough Council) (CH) Sarah Barker (Ipswich Borough Council) (SB) Andrea McMillan (Suffolk Coastal District Council) (AM) Mark Edgerley (Suffolk Coastal District Council) (ME) James Cutting (Suffolk County Council) (JC) Graeme Mateer (Suffolk County Council) (GM)
Apologies	Desi Reed (Suffolk Coastal District Council) (DR) Martyn Fulcher (Ipswich Borough Council) (MF) Philip Ridley (Suffolk Coastal District Council) (PR) John Pitchford (Suffolk County Council) (JP)
Distribution	Attendees, Desi Reed, Martyn Fulcher, Philip Ridley, John Pitchford.
Action Notes Agreed	Agreed.

Items:

		Action	Attachments
1.0	Action notes of meeting held on 30th May 2018 and matters arising.		
1.1	It was agreed that the action notes from the meeting held on 30 th May 2018 were an accurate record. IBC to publish on ISPA Board webpage.	SB to publish notes on website.	
2.0	Review Draft Statement of Common Ground		
2.1	A draft revised Statement of Common Ground had been circulated by the secretariat, incorporating changes discussed at the ISPA Board meeting on 30 th May 2018.		
2.2	Vision for the Ipswich Strategic Planning Area		
2.3	AM advised that the vision reflects discussions at previous Board meetings in terms of topics and key drivers across the four authorities.		
2.4	During the meeting held on the 30 th May it was agreed that the vision should make appropriate reference to the Suffolk Framework for Inclusive Growth. AM advised that whilst the vision does not specifically refer to the Suffolk Framework for Inclusive Growth, it reflects its content. This was judged to be acceptable.		
2.5	SCDC advised that it intends to publish a draft SoCG as a background document to the forthcoming local plan consultation.		
2.6	TB cautioned that BMSDC had outstanding concerns regarding the draft vision. It was agreed that officers from the respective authorities would work together to agree revised wording of the Statement of Common Ground outside the ISPA Board forum, prior to publication of the SCDC Draft Local Plan. Officers would then feedback to their respective councillors.	AM, RH, SB to amend the SoCG and check with ISPA Board member.	
2.7	JC reminded the board that the vision was a working document and therefore final wording would not need to be agreed until regulation 19 stage.		
2.8	For clarity it was agreed that a footnote should be added to explain the term 'regulation 18' and the term 'renowned' economy would be reviewed.	AM to add a footnote for Reg. 18 and amend 'renowned economy'.	

<p>3.0</p> <p>3.1</p> <p>3.2</p> <p>3.3</p>	<p>SCDC Local Plan – Wider Strategic Planning Area policies</p> <p>A Chapter of SCDC First Draft Local Plan, had been circulated by the secretariat. AM presented the chapter.</p> <p>AM advised that the chapter explains the housing number and how it relates to housing numbers across the local area, reflecting the fact that neighbouring authorities are still working on their draft local plans and demonstrating that SCDC are not working in isolation. The draft chapter does the same in terms of jobs, the economy and infrastructure.</p> <p>The draft plan signals SCDCs support for the Ipswich Northern Route and it is expected that subsequent local plans will consider this in more detail. The draft chapter also covers plans for the protection of the environment, including European Sites.</p>		
<p>4.0</p> <p>4.1</p> <p>4.2</p> <p>4.3</p> <p>4.4</p>	<p>Ipswich Northern Route</p> <p>GM provided an update on the Ipswich Northern Route (INR). The Transport Team at SCC hope to have an outline business case in place by late 2018/spring 2019. At present, the level of growth proposed is insufficient to support a business case for a northern route and this may put the project back. To support any future business case GM requested that the local authorities refer to the Northern Route in their respective Local Plans and the Statement of Common Ground.</p> <p>ME advised that the draft SCDC Local Plan highlighted the need for larger infrastructure. SB advised that the adopted IBC Local Plan refers to an Ipswich Northern Route.</p> <p>The respective timing of work on the INR business case and work on Local Plans was discussed. It was agreed that there needs to be a close relationship between the two work streams, which should be ensured through discussions outside the ISPA Board.</p> <p>The six-week consultation on the outline business case for the INR will take place in due course, but it is unlikely to quantify the level of growth required to deliver the road.</p>	<p>ISPA officers and SCC Highways to discuss – GM, AM, RH, SB</p>	

5.0	Local Transport Plan Review – update		
5.1	Work is underway on the Suffolk Local Transport Plan (LTP) Review. The process has evolved from a 'refresh' to a more detailed review but the broad principles of the LTP will remain the same.		
5.2	The approach to corridors is being amended in line with work by the LEP. SCC are gathering input from key stakeholders, before going out to full consultation.		
5.3	The emerging local plans will need to make reference to the Local Transport Plan Review. A local walking and cycling plan will be published alongside.		
6.0	Any other business There was none		
7.0	Dates to be confirmed Location – Grafton House.		

The full action notes of this meeting are assumed to be accessible to the public and to staff, unless the chair claims an exemption under the **Freedom of Information Act 2000**. For detailed guidance about applying the exemptions visit <http://www.ico.gov.uk/>

<p>Please indicate opposite any exemptions you are claiming.</p> <p>Remember that some exemptions can be overridden if it is in the public interest to disclose – as decided by the FOI multi-disciplinary team.</p> <p>Exemptions normally apply for a limited time and the</p>	These minutes contain information;	Please insert an "x" if relevant
	1. That is personal data	
	2. Provided in confidence	
	3. Intended for future publication	
	4. Related to criminal proceedings	
	5. That might prejudice law enforcement	
	6. That might prejudice ongoing external audit investigations	
	7. That could prejudice the conduct of public affairs	



information may be released once the exemption lapses.

information may be released once the exemption lapses.	8. Information that could endanger an individual's health & safety	
	9. That is subject to legal privilege	
	10. That is prejudicial to commercial interests	
	11. That may not be disclosed by law	
	12. Other Please describe	