Risk Assessment Method for Local Air Pollution Control Score Sheet Revised July 2013

Name of authorised process/installation	Anglo Norden Forest Products Ltd, Cliff Quay, Ipswich, Suffolk, IP3 0BS.
Name of person with whom sheet discussed	Per Christensen
SG Note	6/2
Local Authority Reference	WK/202003619
Inspector's Name	Ben Atkinson
Date	28 th January 2021

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 – Inherent Environmental Impact Potential			
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible	Score	
	Scores	Awarded	
(A) Category 1	10		
(B) Category 2	20	20	
(C) Category 3	30		

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Upgrading not complete but SG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	0		
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and SG Note deadline has passed	10	0		
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0	0		
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10	0		
(E) Improvement programme not submitted within6 months of issue of permit	5	0		

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)

	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Med	(z) Low	
(A) < 100m*	20	12	5	
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3	
(C) 250 - 500m*	<u>5</u>	3	1	
(D) >500m*	0	0	0	
Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site				
boundary.				

Component 3 – Other Targets		
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area	10	0
to which process is a potential contributor (B) No such air pollution problems	0	0
Total for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	25

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no	0	0		
breach of any specific authorisation condition or of the general/residual BAT condition				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	10 per incident	0		
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action	10 per incident	0		
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	0		
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice or Suspension Notice	20 per incident	0		
Total	(Max 55)	0		
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those conside unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to a				

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records

Records				
	Possible Scores			
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	Score Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	10	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	10	0	0
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	10	0	0
Total Score		(-5 to 45)	0

Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
_	Possible Scores			
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	Score Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	0
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	0
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities take place?	0	5	0	0
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental management system in place?	-5	0	0	0
Total Score		(-5 to 25))	0

Total for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to 125	0
--	---------------------	---

Overall Score for the Process	Range -10 to 195	25
Regulatory Effort Category High =>80, med = 40 – 80, low = <40	Low/Med/High	LOW