Risk Assessment Method for Local Air Pollution Control Score Sheet Revised July 2013

Name of authorised process/installation	Merdith Souce Station, 554 Normich Road
Name of person with	
whom sheet discussed	Mr Sithataran
Process Guidance Note	PG 1/14 (06)
Local Authority Reference	EPOCOCOCO / PS16/UPA/06/15
Inspector's Name	Ben Atticises
Date	Friday 22nd December 2017

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 - Inherent Environmental	Impact Potential	
APRR Risk Rating Category	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Category 1	10	19
(B) Category 2	20	
(C) Category 3	30	

Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has yet to be reached	5	
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline has passed	10	
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BATNEEC Requirements	0	0
(D) Emissions control exceeds BATNEEC Requirements	-10	

	Sensi	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source	(x) High	(y) Med	(z) Low		
(A) < 100m*	20	12	(5)		
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3		
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1		
(D) >500m* * All distances should be multiplied by a f	0	0	0		

steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 3 – Other Targets		
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to which process is a potential contributor	10	
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0

Total for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	5	

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores	Score Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no oreach of any specific authorisation condition or of the general/residual BATNEEC condition		0	
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	10 per incident	0	
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal action	10 per incident	0	
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement Notice or prosecution	15 per incident	6	
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice or Suspension Notice	20 per incident	0	
Total	(Max 55)	0	

unreasonable or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Records Criterion	Pos			
	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	Score Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree required in the authorisation?	0	10	0	0
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because results over time show consistent compliance?	-5	0	0	0
(C) Process operation modified where any problems indicated by monitoring?	0	10	0	0

Total Score		(-5 to 45)	(V	0
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the authority by date required?	0	10	0	6
(E) Full documented records as required in authorisation available on-site?	0	5	0	0
(D) Fully documented and adhered to maintenance programme, in line with authorisation?	0	10	0	0

Component 7 - Assessment of Manageme	Possible Scores			District
Criterion	(x) Yes	(y) No	(z) N/A	Score Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for implementing all aspects of the authorisation?	0	5	0	0
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to individual staff for these procedures?	0	5	0	0
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities checked and recorded by the company?	0	5	0	٥
(D) Documented training records for all staff with air pollution control responsibilities?	0	5	0	٥
E) Trained staff on site throughout periods where potentially air-polluting activities ake place?	0	5	0	0
F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental nanagement system in place?	-5	0	0	0
Total Score	(-	5 to 25)		0

Total for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to	
	105	O

Overall Score for the Process	Range -10 to 195	5
Regulatory Effort Category High =>80, med = 40 - 80, low = <40	Low/Med/High	Can

